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Human papillomavirus (HPV) has been demonstrated in more than 99% of cervical cancers and over 90% of their squamous intra-epithelial precursor lesions. Moreover, molecular and epidemiologic studies have demonstrated that HPV, particularly HPV16, also plays a role in the pathogenesis of a subset of head and neck squamous cell carcinomas (HNSCC) particularly oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma (OPSCC). Biomarkers of HPV-driven cancers include DNA and mRNA detected in tumors using in situ hybridization and polymerase chain reaction–based assays, expressed cellular proteins (such as pRb, p53, and p16INK4a) measured using immunohistochemistry, and serological markers indicative of cumulative viral exposure.

There is strong correlation between HNSCC and seropositivity to the E6 and E7 oncoproteins of HPV16. The risk of HPV-driven HNSCC is much greater in individuals positive for antibodies to HPV16 E6 or E7 than in those positive for antibodies to HPV18 virus-like particles. Seropositivity to HPV18 E6, HPV33 E6, and HPV58 E7 are strongly associated with OPSCC and HPV52 E7 with oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma (OSCC). Due to the low prevalence of HPV16 E6 or E7 antibodies in healthy individuals without an HPV-related tumor, HPV antibodies are now being considered for monitoring for previous exposure, measuring prognosis, and monitoring treatment of HPV-associated cancers.

The low prevalence of HPV DNA and mRNA among HNSCC cases from the northwest region of the Philippines was reported previously. In the current study, serum samples of HNSCC patients from the same region along with their age- and sex-matched clinically healthy controls were analyzed for antibodies to the capsid protein (L1), early oncoproteins (E6, E7, E1, and/or E2) of HPV16, and 11 did not display reactivity to any HPV early or late oncoproteins. Of the controls, four tested positive for at least one of the HPV16 early oncoproteins, and 10 were non-reactive to all HPV types. Titers to HPV16 E6 or E7 of the seropositive cases and controls were considerably lower than those typically observed in economically developed countries.
early proteins (E1, E2) of the carcinogenic mucosal HPV16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52, and 58 genotypes and the non-carcinogenic mucosal HPV6 and 11 genotypes to determine whether frequency of seropositivity and antibody titers are in concordance with molecular analyses. Moreover, the results of serologic analyses were correlated with lifestyle risk factors including sexual practices.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Sample population and study site**

The Mariano Marcos Memorial Hospital and Medical Center (MMMH-MC) in Ilocos Norte, Philippines, served as the study base. The study included cases of newly diagnosed, histologically confirmed primary tumors of the oral cavity (OSCC), oropharynx (OPSCC), or larynx (laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma [LSCC]) seen at MMMH-MC between May 2012 and September 2013. The study also required that the cases had no prior history of cervical dysplasia and/or cervical cancer. The cases were age- (± 2 years) and sex-matched with volunteer cancer-free controls recruited from the same communities where the study cases resided.

All participants completed a standardized questionnaire, either through self-administration or an interview with a member of the research group, that determined their alcohol consumption, tobacco use, and sexual practices. The clinical data of the patients were retrieved from medical records and histopathological reports. Blood was collected from both patients and controls at the time of the interview. The serum was separated and stored immediately at -80°C until use.

It must be noted that the freshly frozen biopsy samples of the HNSCC cases at this institution were previously analyzed for HPV DNA and mRNA. Only one of the cases tested positive for HPV DNA and RNA specifically to the low-risk HPV11. Multiplex serology

All serum samples were subjected to multiplex serology, a high-throughput technology that allowed the simultaneous quantification of specific antibodies against the major capsid protein (L1), the early oncoproteins (E6, E7), and other early proteins (E1, E2) of the carcinogenic mucosal HPV16 and HPV18 genotypes (L1, E1, E2, E6, and E7), the carcinogenic mucosal HPV31, HPV33, HPV45, HPV52, and HPV58 genotypes (E6, E7, and L1), and the non-carcinogenic mucosal HPV6 and HPV11 genotypes (E6, E7, and L1). The assay makes use of viral antigens bacterially expressed as glutathione S-transferase (GST) fusion proteins. Spectrally distinct bead sets (SeroMAP Microspheres, Luminex Corp., Austin, TX, USA) carrying different viral antigens were individually washed and subsequently mixed. Each serum sample was diluted (1:100) in pre-incubation buffer (phosphate buffered saline with Chemi-Block), combined with the mixed beads, and incubated. The bound antibodies were detected with biotinylated anti-human secondary antibodies (goat anti-human IgG [H + L], IgA, and IgM) (Dianova, Hamburg, Germany) followed by conjugate streptavidin–R-phycoerythrin. With a Luminex 200 analyzer (Luminex Corp.), the reporter fluorescence of the beads was measured and expressed as the mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) of at least 100 beads per set per serum sample. For background determination, beads were loaded with GST alone. Net MFI values were categorized as either antibody positive (reactive) or negative (nonreactive) based on cut-off values of the mean ± 3SD (standard deviation) excluding the positive outliers of the MFI values of 25 sera from self-declared sexually-naïve Indian girls aged 12–15 years old. Additionally, the minimal cut-off was set to 300 MFI for early HPV antigens and 400 MFI for L1 antigens.

**Data analysis**

IBM Statistical Package for Social Sciences (IBM SPSS, IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) was used in the analysis of the data. The chi-square test of homogeneity was used to compare nominal data, and Fisher exact test was used to compare expected frequencies less than 5.00 (i.e., number of lifetime sex partners, performs oral-genital sex, engages in casual and/or commercial sex, engages in same-sex sexual activity, sex partner with history of sexually transmitted infection, and personal history of sexually-transmitted disease). The Mann–Whitney rank-sum test was used to compare median scores.

**Ethics statement**

The design, sampling, experimental protocols, questionnaires, and other pertinent documents were reviewed and approved by the Research Ethics Review Committee (RERC) of MMMH-MC. All participants gave their written informed consent.

**RESULTS**

This study considered a total of 22 HNSCC cases (13 OSCC, 2 OPSCC, and 7 LSCC) during the 16-month recruitment period. All HNSCC patients that visited MMMH-MC were invited to participate, but some of those diagnosed with late-stage cancer or of extreme age declined to participate. Moreover, two of the
cases were also not paired since it was difficult to recruit controls that matched their age (≥80 years old). There were more males (n = 13) than females, and the median age at initial diagnosis was 64 years old (range, 41 to 87 years). A majority of the cases had well-differentiated tumors (n = 18) and presented with the late stage of the disease (n = 17).

There were no significant differences between the cases and controls in terms of the number of lifetime sex partners (p > .99) and engagement in oral sex (p = .332). Although more controls engaged in casual or commercial sex (p = .018), more controls also used condoms for protection (p = .029). Very few of the participants, whether case or control, had more than five lifetime sex partners or engaged in oral sex (Table 1).

The HPV serologic profiles of the cases were the same from diagnosis to treatment. Among the 22 cases, only two (9%, both OSCC) showed reactivity to the E6 protein: one case to both HPV18 and HPV45 and one to HPV31. Four (18%, 2 LSCC and 2 OSCC) were reactive to the E7 protein: two cases to HPV33, one to HPV16, and one to HPV18. None of the cases showed dual reactivity to E6 and E7 of the same HPV genotype. One OSCC case was reactive to E2 of HPV18 and another was reactive to E1 of HPV18 and E2 of HV16 (Table 2). Seven (31.8%, 4 OSCC and 3 LSCC) showed multiple reactivity to the L1 protein of HPV6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52, and/or 58 (Table 2). Further, two of the LSCC cases were positive to HPV 11. Overall, only two (9%) of the cases were positive to at least one of the early proteins (E6, E7, E1, and/or E2) of HPV 16, and 11 (50%) did not display reactivity to any of the early or late proteins of HPV.

Only three (15%) among the controls showed reactivity to either E6 or E7: one to the E6 protein of both HPV11 and HPV52 and two to E7 of either HPV16 or HPV33. One of the controls showed dual reactivity to E1 and E2 of both HPV16 and HPV18. Another control was reactive only to E2 of HPV16 (Table 3). Seven of the controls (35%) displayed multiple reactivity to L1 of HPV6, 11, 16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52, and/or 58 (Table 3). Overall, 10 of the controls (50%) were not reactive to any of the HPV proteins, and four (20%) were positive to at least one of the HPV16 early proteins.

**DISCUSSION**

In this study, seropositivity to the early and late proteins of HPV was found in only half of the HNSCC cases and clinically healthy controls. None of the participants showed dual reactivity to the E6 and E7 proteins of HPV16, which is the most associated with HNSCC, particularly OPSCC. Increased titers of HPV Seroprevalence of HNSCC Cases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Case (n=22)</th>
<th>Control (n=20)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>63 (41–87)</td>
<td>62 (39–74)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>13 (59)</td>
<td>11 (55)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9 (41)</td>
<td>9 (45)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor site</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Larynx</td>
<td>7 (32)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oral cavity</td>
<td>13 (59)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oropharynx</td>
<td>2 (9)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Well-differentiated</td>
<td>18 (82)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderately-differentiated</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poorly-differentiated</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tis, T1, T2</td>
<td>5 (23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3, T4</td>
<td>17 (77)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption</td>
<td>.516</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>11 (50)</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever</td>
<td>11 (50)</td>
<td>12 (60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tobacco use</td>
<td>.052</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>8 (40)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ever</td>
<td>19 (86)</td>
<td>12 (60)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median age at first sexual intercourse (yr)</td>
<td>20.5 (19–24)</td>
<td>21 (17.5–25)</td>
<td>.870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of lifetime sex partners</td>
<td>&gt;.99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0–5</td>
<td>19 (86)</td>
<td>17 (85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥6</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performs oral-genital sex</td>
<td>.332</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>3 (14)</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>19 (86)</td>
<td>14 (70)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No answer</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engages in casual and/or commercial sex</td>
<td>.018</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5 (25)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>22 (100)</td>
<td>15 (75)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engages in same-sex sexual activity</td>
<td>.099</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3 (15)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>22 (100)</td>
<td>17 (85)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex partner has history of STD</td>
<td>.003</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>22 (100)</td>
<td>13 (65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uncertain/no answer</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personal history of STD</td>
<td>.221</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>22 (100)</td>
<td>18 (90)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Use of condom</td>
<td>.029</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6 (27)</td>
<td>13 (65)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>16 (73)</td>
<td>7 (35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as median (range) or number (%). Chi-square test of homogeneity was used to compare nominal data while Fisher’s exact test was used to compare expected frequencies less than 5.00 (i.e., number of lifetime sex partners, performs oral-genital sex, engages in casual and/or commercial sex, engages in same-sex sexual activity, sex partner with history of sexually-transmitted disease [STD], and personal history of STD). Mann-Whitney rank-sum test was used to compare median scores.
Table 2. HPV serologic profile of the cases to the early and late proteins of low-risk (HPV6, 11) and high-risk (HPV16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52, and 58) types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case ID</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age at initial diagnosis (yr)</th>
<th>Tumor site</th>
<th>HPV DNA</th>
<th>HPV mRNA</th>
<th>Seropositivity</th>
<th>Late proteins (L1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>LSCC</td>
<td>HPV11</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV18 E6, HPV45 E6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV31 E6, HPV33 E6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV18 E1, HPV16 E2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV33 E7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>LSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV33 E7, HPV45 E7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>LSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV18 E7, HPV31 E7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV18 E7, HPV18 E2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>06-1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>LSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-3</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>LSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>01-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>OPSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>OPSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-1</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>OSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>LSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-1</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>LSCC</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HPV, human papillomavirus; LSCC, laryngeal squamous cell carcinoma; OSCC, oral cavity squamous cell carcinoma; OPSCC, oropharyngeal squamous cell carcinoma.

*Molecular analyses done earlier15; **Positive for HPV DNA, HPV mRNA, or HPV antibodies.

Table 3. HPV serologic profile of the controls to the early and late proteins of low-risk (HPV6, 11) and high-risk (HPV16, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52, and 58) types

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control ID</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Seropositivity</th>
<th>Late proteins (L1)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>06-0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>HPV16 E1, HPV18 E7, HPV16 E2, HPV18 E2</td>
<td>HPV11, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>07-0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>HPV16 E7</td>
<td>HPV11, 18, 31, 33, 45, 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>03-0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>HPV33 E7, HPV18 E7</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>HPV16 E2</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>HPV11 E6, HPV52 E6</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>08-0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV6, 11, 58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV11, 16, 31, 33, 45, 52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV11, 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>HPV 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>02-0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04-0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>05-0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09-0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19-0</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21-0</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Positive for human papillomavirus (HPV) antibodies.
HPV18 E6, HPV33 E6, and HPV58 E7 antibodies are strongly associated with OPSCC and HPV52 E7 with OSCC. The two OPSCC cases here were negative to all early and late HPV proteins; however, two of the OSCC cases were positive to HPV 52 L1 but not to E7 nor to any of the early proteins. The seropositive clinically healthy controls were primarily reactive to the early (E1 and E2) and late (L1) proteins. It must also be noted that antibody titers to HPV16 E6 or E7 in both the seropositive cases and controls were considerably lower compared to regions where the prevalence of HPV-driven HNSCC is high. Thus, the findings here correspond to the results of molecular analyses done earlier on biopsy samples of the cases.

Among the cases, one (case 18-1) tested positive for both the DNA and mRNA of HPV11, a low-risk HPV type commonly associated with recurrent respiratory papillomatosis (RRP). The patient also registered very high titers of antibodies to the late, but not the early proteins of HPV11 (Table 2). It is worth noting that this patient had RRP prior to the diagnosis of LSCC. HPV11 sometimes causes cancer if genetic susceptibility and immune suppression allow the virus to persist with deregulated patterns of gene expression. The other HPV11 L1 seropositive case (case 19-3) was negative for HPV DNA and mRNA and had no history of RRP, as indicated in his medical records (Table 2).

Antibodies to E6 and E7 of HPV18, 33, and 58 are associated with OPSCC and LSCC and HPV52 with a risk of OSCC and OPSCC. The HPV18 or 33 seropositive cases here were either OPSCC or LSCC, and none had dual reactivity to at least two early proteins of the same HPV genotype, which has been suggested as a biomarker of HPV-driven HNSCC. Hence, the HPV seropositivity seen here may be a marker of recent HPV exposure.

It has been hypothesized that anti-E1 and E2 antibodies likely develop prior to full transformation, overexpression, and subsequent seroconversion to E6 and E7 because E1 and E2 are expressed at such low levels in HPV-transformed cells. However, seropositivity to E6 and E7 is usually detectable only after tumor invasion, likely because a humoral response is generated only upon the release and spread of E6 and E7 oncoproteins into systemic circulation, which happens after a tumor vascular bed has been created followed by necrosis. Dual seropositivity to E6 and any one of the other early viral proteins (E7, E1, or E2) of one HPV type has a sensitivity of 95% and a specificity of 98%, indicating they could serve as reliable markers for diagnosis and prognosis of HNSCC.

The cases and controls in this study appeared to be sexually conservative (i.e., a small number of lifetime sex partners, do not perform oral sex) compared to people from more economically developed regions. Thus, there was a lower risk of HPV-driven HNSCC, which is believed to be transmitted through sexual means. The characteristics of the cases here were more consistent with the features of HPV-negative HNSCC; the age at onset of their HNSCC was in the 6th to 7th decade of life, and a majority were tobacco and alcohol users. Dual seropositivity to E6 and E7 is much more common among young males with a greater number of lifetime sex partners, an observation that is consistent with the characteristics observed in patients with HPV-driven HNSCC.

This study included a limited number of cases from a single region of the country where HNSCC was most prevalent because there are no nationwide biobanks and participants were only recruited at the time this study was initiated. However, the cases were strictly age- and sex-matched with physician-assessed clinically healthy controls recruited from the same geographical location for comparison of HPV antibody titers.

Serological assays are not usually used in HPV diagnosis due to their low sensitivity and tumor-site specificity. However, HPV serologic markers can be used to assess previous exposure, measure prognosis, and monitor treatment. The current study was done to assess HPV exposure of HNSCC cases and their matched controls. The results of the serological analyses, lifestyle risk factors, and sexual practices of the HNSCC cases in this study complement the results of previous molecular analyses for presence of DNA and mRNA in tumors of retrospective HNSCC cases from the same region. Hence, it can be inferred that the low HPV titers seen among the participants were indicative of prior exposure and not due to presence of HPV-driven tumors.

A follow-up study involving a greater number of cases from both rural and urban areas in the Philippines should be conducted to determine any significant increase in the incidence of HPV-related HNSCC as verified using molecular and serologic analyses. In the present study, the median age of the cases (59 years old) and controls (59 years old) who were HPV seropositive was lower compared to the seronegative cases (68 years old) and controls (65 years old), indicating that the younger generations are more liberal. Given the strong influence of social media, Filipinos are becoming more sexually permissive, which increases their risk for HPV-driven HNSCC.

This study adds to the existing evidence that individuals without HPV-related tumors typically have low levels of HPV16 E6 or E7 antibodies. It supports the idea that HPV antibody testing can be used as a cheaper alternative to complex molecular marker.
analyses in screening for HPV-driven cancers especially in countries where resources are limited.
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High Expression of Galectin-1, VEGF and Increased Microvessel Density Are Associated with MELF Pattern in Stage I-III Endometrioid Endometrial Adenocarcinoma

Dmitry Aleksandrovich Zinovkin, Sergey Leonidovich Achinovich1, Mikhail Grigoryevich Zubritskiy2, Jacqueline Linda Whatmore3, Md Zahidul Islam Pranjol4
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Background: In this study, we investigate the expression of markers of angiogenesis and microvessel density (MVD) in cases of microcystic, elongated and fragmented (MELF) pattern, with its prognostic role in the survival of endometrioid endometrial adenocarcinomas (EA) patients. Methods: In this study, 100 cases of EA, 49 cases with MELF pattern and 51 without, were immunohistochemically stained for galectin-1, vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and MVD. Morphometry and statistical (univariate and multivariate) analyses were performed to assess overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival. Results: The expression of VEGF (p < .001) and galectin-1 (p < .001), as well as MVD area (p < .001) and number of vessels/mm² (p < .050), were significantly higher in the +MELF pattern group compared to the −MELF group. A low negative correlation between MELF-pattern and the number of days of survival (p < .001, r = −0.47) was also found. A low positive correlation of MELF-pattern with galectin-1 expression (p < .001, r = 0.39), area of vessels/mm² (p < .001, r = 0.36), outcome of EA (p < .001, r = 0.42) and VEGF expression (p < .001, r = 0.39) suggests potential pathological relevance of these factors in the prognosis of EA. A univariate survival analysis indicated a role for all parameters of survival. Multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression analysis revealed that only area of vessels/mm² (hazard ratio [HR], 1.018; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.002 to 1.033), galectin-1 (HR, 1.049; 95% CI, 1.025 to 1.074) and VEGF (HR, 1.049; 95% CI, 1.022 to 1.077) play key roles in OS. Conclusions: This study reports an increase in MVD, VEGF and galectin-1 expression in EA with MELF pattern and suggests that MELF pattern, along with the angiogenic profile, may be a prognostic factor in EA.

Key Words: Endometrioid endometrial carcinoma; MELF pattern; Galectin-1; Microvessel density; VEGF

Although most early-stage endometrioid endometrial adenocarcinomas (EA) have an excellent prognosis, a minority of low-grade disease cases may have an aggressive clinical course.1-4 EAs are histologically heterogeneous with myometrial invasion characterized by a microcystic, elongated and fragmented (MELF) pattern surrounded by myxoid and inflamed stroma. MELF pattern was first described by Murray et al. in 2003,5 but only a few studies since have reported on the biological potential of this histopathological lesion. Most published studies have focused on the clinicopathological and immunohistochemical parameters of the cancer microenvironment in relation to the MELF pattern. However, the role of microvessels and angiogenic factors such as galectin-1 and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in the MELF pattern has not been described. Furthermore, the role of the specific fibromyxoid stromal changes in the prognosis of survival of patients with EA remains unclear.6 The MELF pattern is known to be associated with lymphovascular invasion and lymph node metastasis, but...
no significant role of this lesion in relation to patient survival has been investigated. However, other studies have shown that the MELF pattern may play a negative role in the survival of patients suffering from EA.

In this study, we hypothesize that there is a significant association between the MELF pattern and microvessel density (MVD), as well as angiogenic factors such as VEGF and galectin-1, which play key roles in determining poor survival of patients. Therefore, we investigated the presence of galectin-1, VEGF, and microvessels in the tumor microenvironment using an immunohistochemical technique. We also analyzed the aforementioned association and report for the first time that these criteria may be used as possible prognostic factors of EA.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patients

This prospective study involved women with EA who were treated in 2015 in the Grodno and Gomel regions in the Republic of Belarus. The inclusion criteria for the study were a presence of stage I-III EA (International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics [FIGO], 2009), hysterectomy and an absence of malignant tumors in other locations during life. EA stage IV (FIGO, 2009), Lynch syndrome, palliative treatment, a presence of synchronous and metachronous malignancies and the presence of other specific growth patterns of EA were the exclusion criteria for this study.

The presence of the MELF pattern was confirmed independently by three pathologists (D.A.Z., S.L.A., and M.G.Z.). The pattern was identified by the presence of elongated, dilated (microcystic) and disrupted invasive tumor glands with peri-glandular fibromyxoid stromal reactions and single invasive tumor cells in the stroma around the pattern (Fig. 1).

A total of 100 out of 424 cases of EA during the study period were determined to be eligible for inclusion in this study. Patients were divided into two groups according to the presence or absence of MELF pattern. The first group included 49 subjects who had EA with stroma-specific MELF pattern (MELF positive group). The second group consisted of 51 patients who had no MELF pattern changes in the stroma (MELF negative group). The observation period was 36 months. All patients received treatment according to the National Protocols of Diagnostics and Treatment of Oncological Diseases of the Republic of Belarus. Clinico-pathological characteristics of patients are presented in Table 1.

Immunohistochemistry

The primary antibodies used in this study were ready-to-use monoclonal mouse Gal-1 (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), anti-VEGF (Diagnostic Biosystems, Pleasanton, CA, USA), and anti-CD34 (Diagnostic Biosystems). An UnoVue HRP/DAB Detection System (Diagnostic Biosystems) was used for primary antibody visualization.

The method employed in this study has been described in our previous work. Briefly, sections of tissue (4 to 5 µm thick) were deparaffinized and washed with distilled water. Antigen retrieval was performed using a microwave. The sections were then

Table 1. Clinicopathological characteristics of the patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>MELF-positive group (n=49)</th>
<th>MELF-negative group (n=51)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>64.2 ± 4.8</td>
<td>63.8 ± 5.2</td>
<td>.982a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIGO stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>.942c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.855b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphovascular invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.115e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myometrial invasion (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&gt;.99f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 50</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MELF: microcystic, elongated and fragmented; FIGO, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics.

aMann-Whitney U test; bKruskal-Wallis test; cFisher's two-tailed exact test.

Fig. 1. Specific microcystic, elongated and fragmented pattern changes: endometrioid carcinoma showing eosinophilic glands with microcystic transformation embedded in fibromyxoid stroma and cancer cell complexes with some areas resembling vascular invasion.
allowed to cool and endogenous peroxidase blocking was performed in 5% hydrogen peroxide. Blocking of nonspecific antibody binding was carried out in 5% casein. Sections were washed and incubated in a moist chamber at room temperature with corresponding primary antibodies followed by incubation with anti-mouse horseradish peroxidase secondary antibodies. The reaction product was visualized after 3,3-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining for 5 minutes followed by Mayer's hematoxylin counterstaining.10,11

Morphometry

The morphometrical analysis was carried out using package NIS-Elements. The tumor invasion zone was photographed using a microscope (Nikon Eclipse 50i, Nikon, Tokyo, Japan) with a digital camera (DS-Fi2) in 5 non-overlapping high-power fields (HPF; ×400 magnification) of maximum expression for each marker. Expression of VEGF and galectin-1 in cell counting was analyzed using the function “measure.” The number of positive cells was expressed as a percentage of the total number of cells in the epithelial component of the tumor.

MVD was presented as the area and number of vessels per mm². The number of vessels was counted in 5 non-overlapping HPF in areas of “hot spots” and inverted into mm² using the formula:

\[ N = \bar{X} n \times 1000000/118947.07 \]

where \( N \) = number of vessels per mm²; \( \bar{X} n \) = mean number of vessels; and 118947.07 = area of one HPF (µm²).

The area of the vessels was counted in 5 non-overlapping HPFs in regions of “hot spots” using the function “area.” Area of vessels after the count was inverted into mm² using the formula:

\[ S = \bar{X} s \times 1000000/118947.07 \]

where \( S \) = area of vessels per 1 mm²; \( \bar{X} s \) = mean area of vessels; and 118947.07 = area of one HPF (µm²).

Statistical analysis

Power analysis (power = 80%, \( \alpha = 0.05 \)) during the pilot study, in which parameters were received from four cases with MELF pattern and four without the pattern in 5 HPF, revealed that the minimum number of subjects should be 18. The data were presented as the median, lower and upper quartiles. The Mann-Whitney test was used for comparing the study groups based on the evaluated criteria. The Spearman correlation test with Chaddock scale was used to perform correlation analysis. The hazard ratio (HR) with a 95% confidence interval (95% CI) was determined for overall survival (OS) and disease-free survival (DFS) using univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression models. A \( p < 0.05 \) was considered statistically significant. GraphPad Prism v7.29 (GraphPad Software Inc., San Diego, CA, USA) and R Software v 3.4.0 were used for analysis (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria).

Ethics statement

Immunohistochemical study was undertaken at the Gomel State Medical University, Belarus, with informed consent from all patients and with ethical approval from the Institutional Review Board (Gomel State Medical University), Gomel, Belarus.

RESULTS

Galectin-1 expression in EA tissue

Galectin-1 was observed to be diffusely expressed by epithelial cancer cells, stromal cancer cells and vessels in both groups. However, the intensity of the expression of this marker in the MELF negative group was significantly lower (\( p < .001 \)) than the MELF-positive group (Fig. 2A, B). The median galectin-1 expression in the MELF pattern-positive and -negative groups were 78.6% (40.1%–88.3%) and 34.2% (24.4%–55.5%), respectively. The Mann-Whitney test showed statistically significant differences in galectin-1 expression in both groups (\( p < .001 \)).

VEGF

The expression of VEGF was also observed across all cancer cells and stromal elements. In the MELF-negative group, predominantly moderate expression of this marker was observed (Fig. 2C). In the MELF pattern positive group, the expression was substantially intense (Fig. 2D). Median VEGF expression in the MELF-positive group was 81.4% (58.1%–86.3%) and in the group without MELF pattern was 53.0% (47.6%–75.4%). The VEGF expression difference between the two groups was statistically significant (\( p < .001 \)).

Microvessel density

In various regions of the MELF pattern, a low number of vessels was observed. In the group without MELF pattern, vessels were situated in small groups, and lymphovascular invasion of EA was mostly absent (Fig. 2E). A significant number of microvessels was seen in the MELF pattern cases in “hot spots” regions with unusual lumens. This was often observed in areas of lymphovascular invasion (Fig. 2F).

We also measured the number of vessels per mm² in these tissue sections. We found that the median values in MELF-negative and MELF-positive groups were 101.6 vessels/mm² (range,
90.1 to 127.9 vessels/mm²) and 134.5 vessels/mm² (range, 98.5 to 156.7 vessels/mm²), respectively, where the latter group showed significantly higher presence than the former (p < .010).

The area of vessels per mm² was also significantly higher (p < .001) in the stromal changes of the MELF-positive group (4,788.3 µm²/mm²; range, 3,087.3 to 5,130.4 µm²/mm²) compared to the group without such specific stromal changes (3,037.3 µm²/mm²; range, 2,508.3 to 5,130.4 µm²/mm²).

Correlation analyses

We also analyzed the associations among expressions of the MELF pattern, galectin-1, VEGF, area and number of vessels per mm², the outcome of EA (survival or death), and the number of days of survival (Fig. 3). For instance, galectin-1 demonstrated a moderate positive correlation with the area of vessels per mm² (p < .001, r = 0.69) and VEGF expression (p < .001, r = 0.67). Furthermore, galectin-1 expression had a highly positive correlation with the outcome of EA (p < .001, r = 0.78) and a high-
A highly positive correlation was observed between the area of vessels per mm² and the outcome of EA (p < .001, r = 0.73) and VEGF expression (p < .001, r = 0.72). A highly negative correlation was found between the area of vessels per mm² and the number of days of survival (p < .001, r = −0.71).

MELF pattern had a slightly negative correlation with the number of days of survival (p < .001, r = −0.47) and slightly positive correlation with galectin-1 expression (p < .001, r = 0.39), the area of vessels per mm² (p < .001, r = 0.36), the outcome of EA (p < .001, r = 0.42), and VEGF expression (p < .001, r = 0.39).

**Survival analysis**

An OS univariate survival analysis was performed, indicating that all parameters except age play a role in the survival of patients with EA (Table 2). However, a multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression analysis of OS revealed that only the area of vessels per mm² (HR, 1.018; 95% CI, 1.002 to 1.033), galectin-1 (HR, 1.049; 95% CI, 1.025 to 1.074) and VEGF (HR, 1.049; 95% CI, 1.022 to 1.077) play key roles in survival irrespective of MELF pattern (Table 2).

An univariate analysis was performed, revealing that all parameters except age and tumor grade play a role in DFS of patients with EA (Table 3). However, our multivariate Cox proportional hazard regression analysis demonstrated that only MELF pattern (HR, 1.018; 95% CI, 1.002 to 1.033) and galectin-1 expression (HR, 1.049; 95% CI, 1.025 to 1.074) were significant predictors of DFS (Table 3).

**Table 2.** The univariate and multivariate Cox regression analysis results for overall survival

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Univariable analysis</th>
<th>Multivariable analysis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Hazard ratio</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.012</td>
<td>0.983–1.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIGO</td>
<td>1.993</td>
<td>1.361–2.918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade</td>
<td>2.201</td>
<td>1.469–3.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphovascular invasion</td>
<td>1.084</td>
<td>1.052–1.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myometrial invasion</td>
<td>1.032</td>
<td>1.011–1.048</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MELF pattern</td>
<td>4.115</td>
<td>2.240–7.558</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of vessels</td>
<td>1.036</td>
<td>1.026–1.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area of vessels</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>1.001–1.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGF</td>
<td>1.078</td>
<td>1.058–1.098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Galectin-1</td>
<td>1.071</td>
<td>1.054–1.089</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; FIGO, International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics; MELF, microcystic, elongated and fragmented; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
DISCUSSION

In this study, we investigated the associations between galectin-1, VEGF, MVD, area of vessels, and survival outcome of EA patients with and without a MELF pattern. We identified 49 patients out of 100 with a MELF pattern and 51 patients without this stromal change who were observed throughout 36 months from admission to the hospital. In this immunohistochemical study, we demonstrated differential expressions of galectin-1, VEGF and measurement of MVD in cases with and without MELF pattern.

In the last two decades, several galectin family members have emerged as versatile modulators of tumor progression. Galectin-1 expression is also frequently reported to be increased in the reproductive system as well as the placenta. There is ample evidence that malignant transformation is accompanied by elevated galectin-1 levels.12 Jeschke et al.13 reported a statistically significant increase of galectin-1 expression in EA with grade 3 and stages III/IV (FIGO) compared to grades 1 and 2 and FIGO I/II. However, no data are available on the expression of galectin-1 in EA with different types of stromal changes and survival. In our study, we found that galectin-1 expression is higher in EA with a MELF pattern compared to cases without a MELF pattern. Our observation of positive correlations between galectin-1 expression and MELF pattern (fibromyxoid changes) and EA outcome agrees with our previous report and with a report by Sandberg et al.,14 in which a correlation was shown between an increased galectin-1 expression and fibrotic reaction in tumor progression in the stroma in colon cancer. This was accompanied by decreased immune cell infiltration, indicating a pro-cancerous role of galectin-1.14,15

VEGF was originally identified as a multifunctional cytokine in angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis.16 In cancer angiogenesis, VEGF promotes the mobilization of inflammatory cells to the tumor site, maintaining the local inflammatory process and inducing the synthesis of proangiogenic factors by endothelial cells, platelets, smooth muscle cells, inflammatory cells, fibroblasts, and tumor cells.17 This interaction between tumor and stromal cells may result in an increased VEGF expression with cancer-associated fibroblasts being the primary source of VEGF.18 Immature cells of the tumor microenvironment have higher secretion of VEGF than mature cells.19 We suggest that this is the reason that in our study, MELF pattern stroma had higher expression of VEGF than normal stroma in EA.

Angiogenesis is also known to play crucial roles in the malignant behavior of tumors by increasing oxygen and nutrient supply to cancer cells where the neo-vasculatures form an irregular network of capillaries. In addition, such abnormal vasculatures are important as a pathway for cancer cell metastasis.20 Accumulated evidence indicates that tumor angiogenesis assessed by blood MVD is associated with advanced clinicopathological parameters and poor prognostic outcomes in different types of cancers.21 MVD analysis demonstrates high prognostic value in cancers of different locations, such as renal, cervical, colorectal and others.22,23 In MELF pattern positive EA, an increased number and area of vessels were observed, which agrees with the previously reported study by Joehlin-Price et al.24 on the high frequency of lymph node metastasis.

A moderate correlation between the area of vessels per mm² and galectin-1 may be due to the cross-talk between galectin–glycan interactions and vascular compartments.25 The correlation between galectin-1 and VEGF may be associated with the mirroring effects of these proangiogenic factors.26 Galectin-1 expression in EA may be a prognostic factor in OS of patients, an
observation that was reported by Wu et al. That study reported predictive values of this parameter for cholangiocarcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma, gingival squamous cell carcinoma, head and neck squamous cell carcinoma, renal cell carcinoma, non-small cell lung cancer, gastric carcinoma and glioblastoma multiforme.

VEGF as the main proangiogenic factor and immune suppressive factor of the tumor microenvironment correlates with the survival of patients suffering from EA. Goel and Mercurio reported a strong expression of VEGF in endothelial cells in the stromal microvessels adjacent to malignant glands, which has been reported to be significantly associated with tumor progression and metastasis.

A positive correlation between MVD and galectin-1/VEGF expression suggested that these parameters play a role in angiogenesis. Additionally, a highly positive correlation between galectin-1 expression and poor survival, as well as MVD and poor survival, suggested that these factors may have a considerable predictive role in survival.

The presence of a MELF pattern revealed low correlations with the above parameters of proangiogenic response in EA. However, a low negative correlation of MELF pattern with the number of days of survival and a moderate positive correlation with the outcome of the disease may show that MELF pattern may be an independent prognostic criterion of patients’ OS.

The results of multivariate survival analyses showed that MELF pattern plays a role in DFS of patients with EA, but not in OS. However, our univariate analysis demonstrated a significant effect of MELF pattern on both OS and DFS. Previous reports have been contradictory on the role of MELF pattern. Sanci et al. and our previous work reported a positive association, but other authors showed that the presence of a MELF pattern plays no role in OS. Our study also demonstrated MELF pattern as a predictive factor of DFS that may be associated with more frequent lymph node involvement in cases of MELF pattern presence. The absence of influence of FIGO and tumor grade on DFS and OS in our study could be associated with homogeneity of the comparison groups for these parameters. However, our inclusion and exclusion criteria eliminated any potential bias. The systematic review of Prodromidou et al. revealed that most of the published literature describing the role of MELF patterns in patients’ survival did not study large cohorts of patients, which may be a factor in the inconclusive observations.

Potential limitations of this study are its case-control nature with a relatively small volume of cases due to the rare incidence of MELF patterns in EA. Despite these limitations, a number of study population characteristics mitigated the weaknesses and increased the validity of our results. One characteristic was the availability of excellent follow-up data. Another was that histopathological analyses made by experienced pathologists. Others included that uniform surgical and radiological treatment was provided by the same surgical teams in two oncological dispensaries and followed protocols of diagnostics and treatment of oncological diseases of the Republic of Belarus.

In conclusion, this study presented an increase of MVD in areas of “hot spots” and angiogenic factors such as VEGF and galectin-1 in cases of EA with MELF presence. Hence, these biomarkers may be used as potential targets for therapy of EA, especially in the implementation of personalized medicine in cases with such specific stromal changes. Our univariate survival analysis revealed MELF pattern presence to be an independent predictor in overall EA survival, but the multivariate analysis did not show that its combination with previously described parameters plays a role in survival. Thus, a large case-control study should be conducted to confirm our findings.

ORCID

Dmitry Alexandrovich Zinovkin: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-3808-8832
Sergey Leonidovich Achinovich: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0172-0320
Mikhail Grigoryevich Zubritskiy: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5355-9076
Jacqueline Linda Whatmore: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8753-1116
Md Zahidul Islam Pranjol: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6164-6281

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: DAZ, MZIP.
Data curation: SLA, MGZ.
Formal analysis: SLA, MGZ.
Funding acquisition: JLW (partial).
Investigation: DAZ, MZIP.
Methodology: DAZ.
Project administration: DAZ, MZIP.
Resources: SLA, MGZ.
Software: DAZ.
Supervision: JLW, MZIP.
Validation: SLA, MGZ.
Visualization: SLA, MGZ.
Writing—original draft: DAZ, MZIP.
Writing—review & editing: DAZ, JLW, MZIP.

Conflicts of Interest
The authors declare that they have no potential conflicts of interest.

Funding
This research was partially supported by FORCE Cancer Charity, Devon, UK and initial grant of the Gomel State Medical University “Development and implementation of a prognostic model of the endometrioid adenocarcinoma of the uterine body based on pathological parameters of the tumor microenvironment,” registration number 20190038, registration date 24.01.2019, Gomel, Belarus.

REFERENCES
2. Banas T, Pitynski K, Okon K, Winiarska A. Non-endometrioid and high-grade endometrioid endometrial cancers show DNA fragmentation factor 40 (DFF40) and B-cell lymphoma 2 protein (BCL2) underexpression, which predicts disease-free and overall survival, but not DNA fragmentation factor 45 (DFF45) underexpression. BMC Cancer 2018; 18: 418.
20. Miyata Y, Sakai H. Reconsideration of the clinical and histopatho-


Clinicopathological Characterization and Prognostic Implication of SMAD4 Expression in Colorectal Carcinoma
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Background: SMAD family member 4 (SMAD4) has gained attention as a promising prognostic factor of colorectal cancer (CRC) as well as a key molecule to understand the tumorigenesis and progression of CRC. Methods: We retrospectively analyzed 1,281 CRC cases immunohistochemically for their expression status of SMAD4, and correlated this status with clinicopathologic and molecular features of CRCs. Results: A loss of nuclear SMAD4 was significantly associated with frequent lymphovascular and perineural invasion, tumor budding, fewer tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes, higher PT and pN category, and frequent distant metastasis. In contrast, tumors overexpressing SMAD4 showed a significant association with sporadic microsatellite instability. After adjustment for TNM stage, tumor differentiation, adjuvant chemotherapy, and lymphovascular invasion, the loss of SMAD4 was found to be an independent prognostic factor for worse 5-year progression-free survival (hazard ratio [HR], 1.27; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.01 to 1.60; p = .042) and 7-year cancerspecific survival (HR, 1.45; 95% CI, 1.06 to 1.99; p = .022). Conclusions: We confirmed the value of determining the loss of SMAD4 immunohistochemically as an independent prognostic factor for CRC in general. In addition, we identified some histologic and molecular features that might be clues to elucidate the role of SMAD4 in colorectal tumorigenesis and progression.
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Ranked third in terms of incidence and second in terms of mortality in the latest global cancer report, colorectal cancer (CRC) is a major health burden worldwide. Notably, this report mentioned South Korea as one of the countries where the highest colon cancer incidence rates were observed. Moreover, the report specifically stated that the highest incidence rates of rectal cancer were seen in South Korean males. In this regard, the importance of understanding the biology of Korean CRC cannot be emphasized enough.

SMAD family member 4 (SMAD4) is a transcription factor that acts as the central mediator of the transforming growth factor β (TGF-β) pathway. Also known as deleted pancreatic cancer locus-4, heterozygous or homozygous deletion of SMAD4 was first discovered in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma, and later detected in other types of cancer, including CRC. Meta-analyses have revealed that the loss of SMAD4 is a negative predictor of overall survival, cancer-specific survival (CSS), and relapse-free survival. In CRC, the loss of SMAD4 is associated with poor differentiation, higher stage, frequent lymph node metastasis, loss of immune infiltrates, and poor response to 5-fluorouracil. Accordingly, numerous studies have reported the value of determining SMAD4 loss as a negative prognostic factor. Although some studies failed to identify a significant association, a meta-analysis reported pooled hazard ratios over 1 with statistical significance for overall survival, disease-free survival, and CSS. Mechanistically, the loss of SMAD4 has been implicated with activation of the Akt pathway and Wnt pathways. Moreover, germline mutations of SMAD4 and BMPR1A (a gene upstream from SMAD4 in the TGF-β pathway), cause juvenile polyposis syndrome, a genetic cancer predisposition syndrome with increased risk of gastrointestinal cancers. Collectively, these data suggest that SMAD4 is a key
molecule to decipher the pathophysiology of CRC while acting as a feasible prognostic marker for optimal surveillance of patients. However, only a handful of studies have explored the expression of SMAD4 and its prognostic significance in a limited number of Korean CRC patients. Moreover, comprehensive clinicopathologic and molecular characterization of CRCs with respect to SMAD4 expression was rarely performed.

In this study, we analyzed 1,281 CRC cases for their expression status of SMAD4 using immunohistochemistry and demonstrated comprehensive clinicopathologic and molecular characteristics of CRCs including KRAS and BRAF mutation, microsatellite instability (MSI) and CpG island methylator phenotype (CIMP) depending on nuclear SMAD4 expression status. Finally, we evaluated its value as a prognostic factor for CSS and progression-free survival.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients and tissue samples**

Under the exclusion criteria described previously, 1,370 out of 1,853 CRC cases resected at Seoul National University Hospital, Seoul, Korea, between January 2004 and June 2008 were reviewed. Among them, 1,281 cases with formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor blocks sufficient for construction of a tissue microarray (TMA) were included in the study. Initial pathologic diagnosis and clinical information, including age, tumor location and radiologic/pathologic evidences of distant metastases were obtained from electronic medical records. Additional histologic parameters including tumor differentiation, tumor budding, representative number of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) per one high power field (400\times magnification), were evaluated by two pathologists as described previously. FFPE tissues were used for molecular analysis and immunohistochemistry.

**Immunohistochemistry**

For each CRC case, a pair of 2-mm cores of representative tumor areas in the FFPE tissue were extracted to construct the TMA. To evaluate SMAD4 expression in CRCs, TMAs were sectioned at a thickness of 4-μm and stained using a rabbit monoclonal anti-SMAD4 antibody (1:200 dilution, clone EP618Y, Abcam, Cambridge, UK). Stained slides were scanned by an Aperio AT2 slide scanner (Sausalito, CA, USA) at 40\times magnification with a resolution of 0.25 μm per pixel. The proportion and the intensity of SMAD4 staining in nuclear compartment were evaluated using TMA Assistant protocol of QuPath, an open-source software for digital pathology image analysis. In detail, the intensity of SMAD4 staining in nuclear compartment was graded using intensity feature of nuclear diaminobenzidine (DAB) optical densities (OD). Because nuclear DAB ODs of entrapped non-neoplastic epithelium range from 0.2 to 0.6, intensity of nuclear SMAD4 staining of tumor cells were evaluated using following cut-offs: intensity 0, DAB OD < 0.2; intensity 1, DAB OD ≥ 0.2 and < 0.6; and intensity 2, DAB OD ≥ 0.6. Finally, each case was classified to SMAD4-low (≥ 95% of tumor cells showed intensity 0), SMAD4-low (≥ 5% of tumor cells showed intensity 1, and < 30% of tumor cells showed intensity 2), and SMAD4-high (≥ 30% of tumor cells showed intensity 2). For survival analysis, CRC cases were dichotomized to CRCs with SMAD4 loss and CRCs with retained SMAD4 (SMAD4-low and SMAD4-high).

The evaluation method and cut-offs for the expression of cyto-keratin 7 (CK7) (clone OV-TIL, 12/30, Dako, Carpenteria, CA, USA), cytokeratin 20 (CK20) (clone KS20.8, Dako), and nuclear protein CDX2 (clone EPR2764Y, ready-to-use, Cell Marque, Rocklin, CA, USA) were described previously. All immunohistochemical procedures in this study were conducted with an automated immunostainer (BenchMark XT, Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, USA).

**Molecular analyses**

Through histological examination, representative tumor portions were marked and then subjected to manual microdissection. The dissected tissues were collected into microtubes containing lysis buffer and proteinase K and were incubated at 55°C for 2 days. DNA from paraffin-embedded tissues was extracted, and the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) was performed. Direct sequencing of KRAS codons 12 and 13 and allele-specific PCR for BRAF codon 600 were performed as described previously. The MSI status of each tumor was determined by evaluating five microsatellite markers (BAT25, BAT26, D2S123, D5S346, and D17S250) as standardized by the National Cancer Institute. A fluorescent label was added to either the forward or reverse primer for each marker, and the PCR products were electrophoresed and analyzed. We classified MSI status as MSI-positive (instability at two or more microsatellite marker), and MSI-negative (no instability or instability at one marker). The CIMP status was evaluated by the MethyLight assay of eight markers (CACNA1G, CDKN2A [p16], CRABP1, IGF2, MLH1, NEU-ROG1, RUNX3, and SOCS1). We classified CRCs into CIMP-negative (0–4 methylated markers), CIMP-positive 1 (5–6 methylated markers), and CIMP-positive 2 (CIMP-P2) (7–8 methylated markers), as previously described.
Statistical analyses

SAS ver. 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) and R software (http://www.r-project.org) were used for statistical analyses. Clinicopathological characteristics were compared between the three SMAD4 expression groups by use of chi-square test or Fisher exact test, as appropriate. Chi-square test for trend was used to compute p for trend. Survival curves after surgery were estimated with the Kaplan-Meier method, and differences in survival curves were tested with the log-rank test. All statistical tests were two-sided, and statistical significance was defined as p < .05.

Ethics statement

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board which waived the requirement to obtain informed consent (IRB No. C-1502-029-647).

RESULTS

Clinicopathological and molecular correlation of nuclear SMAD4 expression in CRCs

Out of 1,281 CRC cases, 210 (16.4%) showed a loss of nuclear expression of SMAD4 (Fig. 1A). Among the remaining cases with retained SMAD4 expression, 942 cases (73.5%) showed low-level expression (Fig. 1B), while high-level expression was observed in 129 cases (10.1%) (Fig. 1C).

We then sought to identify clinicopathologic features showing gradual changes according to the loss or overexpression of SMAD4 (Table 1). With decreasing expression of SMAD4, tumors tended to show an infiltrative gross type, more frequent lymphovascular and perineural invasion, tumor budding, and lower TILs (all p < .001). Consequently, CRC cases showed significant associations with higher pT (p < .001) and pN (p < .001) category, and frequent distant metastasis (p = .001) as nuclear SMAD4 expression decreased. Notably, statistically significant increase of SMAD4 loss and concomitant decrease of SMAD4 expression was noted as TNM stage increased (p < .001) (Supplementary Fig. S1).

To identify molecular phenotypical correlates of such linear trends, we evaluated MSI, CIMP status, KRAS exon 2, BRAF codon 600, and immunohistochemical expression of CK7, CK20, and CDX2 (Table 2). With increasing expression of SMAD4, tumors tended to be more associated with MSI (p < .001), CIMP-P2 (p = .001), and MLH1 promoter methylation (p < .001). Interestingly, the expression of CK20 and CDX2 showed opposite trends with increasing SMAD4 expression; CDX2 expression was lost as nuclear SMAD4 expression increased (p = .01), while the expression of CK20 increased (p < .001).

Prognostic implication of SMAD4 loss in CRCs

A univariate survival analysis revealed that CRCs with a loss of nuclear SMAD4 expression exhibited a significantly worse 5-year progression-free survival (PFS) (p < .001) (Fig. 2A), and 7-year CSS (p = .001) (Fig. 2B). To explore whether there exists a differential prognostic effect of SMAD4 according to TNM stage, we performed the Kaplan-Meier analysis for each TNM stage subgroups (Supplementary Figs. S2, S3). In a stage-specific analysis, SMAD4 loss was associated with worse 5-year PFS (p = .019) in stage II CRCs, worse 5-year PFS (p = .055) and 7-year CSS (p = .011) in stage IV CRCs. In the multivariate Cox proportional hazard analysis, a loss of SMAD4 expression proved to be an independent prognostic factor for 5-year PFS.
Table 1. Clinicopathologic characteristics of colorectal cancers according to SMAD4 expression

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>SMAD4-loss (n=210, 16.4%)</th>
<th>SMAD4-low (n=942, 73.5%)</th>
<th>SMAD4-high (n=129, 10.1%)</th>
<th>p for difference</th>
<th>p for trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yr)</td>
<td>64 (27–83)</td>
<td>63 (20–90)</td>
<td>61 (25–93)</td>
<td>.222</td>
<td>.225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.105</td>
<td>.105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>132 (62.9)</td>
<td>566 (60.1)</td>
<td>69 (53.5)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>78 (37.1)</td>
<td>376 (39.9)</td>
<td>60 (46.5)</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proximal</td>
<td>61 (29.1)</td>
<td>216 (22.9)</td>
<td>50 (38.8)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distal/Rectum</td>
<td>149 (70.9)</td>
<td>726 (77.1)</td>
<td>79 (61.2)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gross type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungating</td>
<td>122 (58.1)</td>
<td>624 (66.2)</td>
<td>90 (76.7)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infiltrative</td>
<td>88 (41.9)</td>
<td>318 (33.8)</td>
<td>30 (23.3)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT1-2</td>
<td>20 (9.5)</td>
<td>176 (18.7)</td>
<td>37 (28.7)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pT3-4</td>
<td>190 (90.5)</td>
<td>766 (81.3)</td>
<td>92 (71.3)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN category</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN0</td>
<td>85 (40.5)</td>
<td>471 (50.0)</td>
<td>89 (69.0)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pN1-2</td>
<td>125 (59.5)</td>
<td>471 (50.0)</td>
<td>40 (31.0)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distant metastasis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M0</td>
<td>167 (79.5)</td>
<td>775 (82.3)</td>
<td>122 (94.6)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>43 (20.5)</td>
<td>167 (17.7)</td>
<td>7 (5.4)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TNM stage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I, II</td>
<td>76 (36.2)</td>
<td>448 (47.6)</td>
<td>87 (67.4)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III, IV</td>
<td>134 (63.8)</td>
<td>494 (52.4)</td>
<td>42 (32.6)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphovascular invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>97 (46.2)</td>
<td>543 (57.6)</td>
<td>96 (74.4)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>113 (53.8)</td>
<td>399 (42.4)</td>
<td>33 (25.6)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perineural invasion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>133 (63.3)</td>
<td>730 (77.5)</td>
<td>113 (87.6)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>77 (36.7)</td>
<td>212 (22.5)</td>
<td>16 (12.4)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiation (grade)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.612</td>
<td>.923</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Differentiated (G1/2)</td>
<td>200 (95.2)</td>
<td>906 (94.2)</td>
<td>122 (94.6)</td>
<td>.7 (5.4)</td>
<td>.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Undifferentiated (G3/4)</td>
<td>10 (4.8)</td>
<td>36 (3.8)</td>
<td>7 (5.4)</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor budding</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>48 (22.9)</td>
<td>256 (27.2)</td>
<td>57 (44.2)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>162 (77.1)</td>
<td>688 (72.8)</td>
<td>72 (55.8)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (400× magnification)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low (&lt;8)</td>
<td>164 (78.1)</td>
<td>739 (78.4)</td>
<td>72 (55.8)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High (≥8)</td>
<td>46 (21.9)</td>
<td>203 (21.6)</td>
<td>57 (44.2)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mucin production</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>.581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent</td>
<td>176 (83.8)</td>
<td>839 (89.1)</td>
<td>102 (79.1)</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>.581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present</td>
<td>34 (16.2)</td>
<td>103 (10.9)</td>
<td>27 (20.9)</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
<td>&lt;.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as median (range) or number (%).

(hazard ratio [HR], 1.27; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.01 to 1.60; p = .042) (Table 3), and for 7-year CSS (HR, 1.45; 95% CI, 1.06 to 1.99; p = .022), after adjustment for TNM stage, tumor differentiation, adjuvant chemotherapy, and lymphovascular invasion.

DISCUSSION

To the best of our knowledge, this is the second largest study focusing on the clinicopathologic and prognostic implications of SMAD4 expression in CRC, and the largest in an Asian population. While the largest previous study was performed on 1,381 stage II or III CRC patients enrolled at a pan-European clinical trial for adjuvant chemotherapy,12 our cohort consisted of 1,281 retrospectively collected patients ranging from stage I to IV. By combining CIMP analysis with additional histologic features such as tumor budding and TILs, we identified the general expression status of SMAD4 and its association with...
Most previous studies evaluated SMAD4 expression based on two-tier classification: loss versus no loss, or low versus high expression.6-12 Because of ambiguities in the definition of low expression, the reported prevalence of low-level SMAD4 expression varied significantly from 2.34% to 75.2%. On the other hand, studies that adopted a multi-tier classification reported relatively homogeneous results, with the prevalence of low-level SMAD4 expression ranging from 9.3% to 37.7%.6,9,12-16 Considering the presence of an apparent loss, overexpression, and intermediate expression of SMAD4, we felt it more appropriate to use a three-tier classification (loss, low-level, and high-level) of SMAD4 expression. As a result, 16.4% of our cases were identified as SMAD4 loss, which falls within the range reported by these studies.
the range of previous reports.

Consistent with the previous studies,8-17 we observed a significant association between SMAD4 loss and higher pT and pN category, and frequent distant metastasis. Consequently, we confirmed the value of SMAD4 loss as a prognostic factor for poor CSS and PFS of CRC. In colonic epithelial cells, TGF-β signaling reduces proliferation and promotes apoptosis and differentiation.39 Because SMAD4 translocates to the nucleus by forming a heterodimeric complex with SMAD2/3 that is phosphorylated by the activated TGF-β receptor,2 it is intuitive that the immunohistochemical loss of nuclear SMAD4 expression suggests a concomitant loss of TGF-β signaling, which leads to uncontrolled proliferative behavior.

Interestingly, we observed that some tumors overexpressed SMAD4 and those tumors tend to be MSI, CIMP-P2, and have their MLH1 promoter methylated. Along with the association between fungating gross type, these data collectively suggested an association between the sporadic MSI-high (MSI-H)/CIMP-high phenotype and overexpression of nuclear SMAD4. Although the meaning of SMAD4 overexpression is not straightforward, such a trend has been reported previously.9,12,40 One possibility is that overexpression of SMAD4 in MSI-H tumors might be the consequence of a compensatory mechanism for mutational inactivation of the TGF-β signaling pathway; i.e., some machineries in the pathway, such as transforming growth factor β receptor II (TGFBR2) or activin type II receptor (ACVR2), are prone to mutations when mismatch repair is impaired.39 At the same time, there are reports suggesting various bypass mechanisms to overcome such mutations.41-44 Further mechanistic studies are needed to confirm this hypothesis.

A novel finding in our study was the gradual increase of CDX2 expression as nuclear SMAD4 increased, while CK20 showed the opposite trend. Although this is in contrast with our previous report that expression of both CK20 and CDX2 got lost according to CpG island methylation,11 the proportional relationship of CDX2 and SMAD4 is consistent with some previous reports. In stomach, it has been reported that SMAD4 can activate the promoter of CDX2, and knockdown of SMAD4 led to the decreased expression of CDX2.45 Concomitant loss of SMAD4 and CDX2 was also observed in colorectal juvenile polyps obtained from juvenile polyposis syndrome patients.46 The loss of CK20 expression could be explained as a consequence of epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT). It is known that switch of intermediate filaments from cytokeratin to vimentin occurs during EMT.47 It has been reported that the immunohistochemical expression of SMAD4 was positively correlated with that of EMT-related transcription factors such as Snail-1 and Twist-1,48 and silencing of SMAD4 inhibited EMT.49 Consequently, an intriguing hypothesis emerges that overexpression of SMAD4 accompanied loss of CK20 by promoting EMT.

Consistent with a previous study,17 we observed a significant association between low TIL infiltration and SMAD4 loss. Although this could be a secondary effect of the MSI status, there are a series of reports on the correlation between SMAD4 loss and CCL15 expression.50-52 These researchers demonstrated that the loss of SMAD4 upregulated CCL15, which resulted in recruitment of CCR1+ cells at the invasive front. CCR1+ cells are phenotypically myeloid-derived suppressor cells (MDSC) and express immunosuppressive molecules such as indoleamine 2,3-dioxygenase. Using mouse models, these researchers demonstrated that the loss of SMAD4 promoted pulmonary and hepatic metastasis through the CCL15-CCR1 axis. It is plausible that the MDSCs are also responsible for the lower TIL infiltration we observed for tumors with SMAD4 loss.

In conclusion, we confirmed the value of determining expression of SMAD4 immunohistochemically as an independent prognostic factor for CRC in general. Furthermore, we identified some histologic and molecular features that might be clues to elucidate the role of SMAD4 in colorectal tumorigenesis and progression. Further studies are needed to validate these findings with an independent large-scale series of CRC cases.

Table 3. Multivariate Cox proportional hazard analysis with respect to 5-year PFS and 7-year CSS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>5-Year PFS</th>
<th>7-Year CSS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>p-value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage (III, IV vs I, II)</td>
<td>4.57 (3.47–6.00)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade (G3/4 vs G1/2)</td>
<td>1.80 (1.27–2.56)</td>
<td>.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post-operative chemotherapy (yes vs no)</td>
<td>0.63 (0.49–0.81)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphovascular invasion (yes vs no)</td>
<td>1.83 (1.48–2.26)</td>
<td>&lt; .001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMAD4 expression (loss vs retained)</td>
<td>1.27 (1.01–1.60)</td>
<td>.042</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PFS, progression-free survival; CSS, cancer-specific survival; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval.
Electronic Supplementary Material

Supplementary materials are available at Journal of Pathology and Translational Medicine (https://jpatholtm.org).

ORCID

Seung-Yeon Yoo: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7881-9038
Ji-Aee Lee: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1493-6208
Yunjoo Shin: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7375-9048
Nam-Yun Cho: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5736-2956
Jeong Mo Bae: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0462-3072
Gyeong Hoon Kang: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2380-6675

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: JMB, GHK.
Data curation: SYY, JMB.
Formal analysis: SYY, JAL.
Investigation: SYY, JMB, JAL, YS, NYC.
Methodology: SYY, JMB, JAL.
Project administration: JMB, GHK.
Resources: JMB, GHK.
Supervision: JMB, GHK.
Validation: JMB, GHK.
Visualization: SYY, JMB.
Writing—original draft: SYY, JMB.
Writing—review & editing: JMB, GHK.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no potential conflicts of interest.

Funding

This study was supported by grants from the National Research Foundation (NRF) funded by the Korean Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (2011-0030049, 2016M3A9B6026921), Korean Health Technology R&D Project, Ministry of Health and Welfare (HI14C1277), and a grant from the SNUH Research Fund (04-2018-0620).

REFERENCES

17. Wasserman I, Lee LH, Ogino S, et al. SMAD4 loss in colorectal cancer patients correlates with recurrence, loss of immune infiltrate, and
411-20.


Gliomas are the primary tumors that develop in the central nervous system (CNS). Diffuse glioma is defined by indefinite border of tumor mass and is considered as a more aggressive form of gliomas.\(^1\),\(^2\) Diagnosis of glioma was mainly based on the 2007 World Health Organization (WHO) classification, which considered increased cellularity, nuclear atypia, mitotic activity, microvascular proliferation, and necrosis for malignant criteria (histologic grade of gliomas).\(^3\) Histological evaluation for grading is important for the treatment of diffuse gliomas; however, histologic grade is not the only prognostic determinant in diffuse gliomas. The chromosomal aberrations such as deletion and mutation are common in gliomas,\(^4\) and oligodendrogial phenotype gliomas with 1p/19q co-deletion tend to have a better prognosis and respond well to chemotherapy and concurrent chemoradiation therapy.\(^2\) Moreover, gliomas with IDH mutation have more favorable prognosis than IDH-wildtype gliomas.\(^2\)

Therefore, at the Haarlem meeting in 2014, multidisciplinary specialists concluded that molecular information should be incorporated into the diagnosis of gliomas to make more integrated diagnosis.\(^6\)

The updated 2016 WHO classification of CNS tumors incorporated molecular features such as IDH mutation and chromosome 1p/19q co-deletion into the diagnosis of gliomas.\(^7\) Previous classification published in 2007 was mainly based on the histological and immunohistochemical features of the tumor.\(^8\) The new 2016 WHO CNS tumor classification led to substantial changes in diagnosis of both oligodendrogial and astrocytic entities. We have confirmed that the revised 2016 WHO CNS tumor classification has prognostic significance in Mongolian patients with diffuse gliomas, especially those with grade II tumors.
changes in the diagnosis of diffuse gliomas depending on the presence or absence of IDH mutation and 1p/19q co-deletion.\textsuperscript{5,9} Diffuse glioma with both IDH mutation and 1p/19q co-deletion is referred to as oligodendroglioma, IDH-mutant, and 1p/19q co-deleted, which has a better prognosis compared with intact cases.\textsuperscript{10} Although a glioma shows oligodendroglioma-like histologic feature, it is no longer classified as an oligodendroglial tumor if neither IDH mutation nor 1p/19q co-deletion is present. Diffuse astrocytic gliomas are classified as an IDH-mutant or IDH-wildtype according to the IDH mutation status. IDH-wildtype gliomas with epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) amplification are considered to have more aggressive behavior like glioblastoma.\textsuperscript{11} Diffuse astrocytoma or oligodendroglioma, which has been diagnosed based on histologic features only without molecular testing, is classified into the “NOS (not otherwise specified)” category.\textsuperscript{11,12} cIMPACT clarified the use of the term NOS and proposed the use of an additional term “NEC (not elsewhere classified)” as well.\textsuperscript{13} For an NOS designation, diagnostic information (histological or molecular) necessary to assign more specific WHO diagnosis is not available. For an NEC designation, necessary diagnostic testing has been successfully performed, but the results do not readily allow for a WHO 2016 diagnosis. In some instances, this will be caused by a mismatch between clinical, histological, immunohistological and/or genetic features; in others, the results may support a new or emerging entity that is not yet included in the WHO classification.\textsuperscript{13}

In this study, we aimed to reclassify diffuse brain gliomas according to the revised 2016 WHO classification of CNS tumors in Mongolian patients with brain gliomas and to evaluate the prognostic significance of the revised 2016 WHO classification of CNS tumors.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Tumor samples**

Data of 124 patients who have been diagnosed with diffuse gliomas according to the WHO 2007 criteria in the National Center for Pathology of Mongolia between January 2006 and December 2017 were obtained in this study. We marked the representative tumor areas on hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)–stained sections. Tumor areas containing viable tumor cell infiltration over 60% without necrosis or hemorrhage were selected. Corresponding areas were identified on the formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded archival blocks, and we constructed tissue microarray (TMA) blocks using 3-mm cores. Each TMA block was verified by H&E staining to determine whether each core has intact glioma tissue.

**IDH mutation status**

In immunohistochemistry, 4-μm-thick tissue sections were deparaffinized in xylene and hydrated by immersing them in a series of graded ethanol. Antigen retrieval was performed in the microwave by placing the sections in epitope retrieval solution (0.01 M citrate buffer, pH 6.0) for 20 minutes; endogenous peroxidase was inhibited by immersing the sections in 0.3% hydrogen peroxide for 10 minutes. Sections were then incubated with IDH1 (1:100, Dianova, Hamburg, Germany) antibody. Then, an OptiView DAB IHC Detection Kit (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, USA) was used following the manufacturer’s recommendations in conjunction with an automated staining procedure using Benchmark XT (Ventana Medical Systems). Finally, the samples were counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated, mounted, and evaluated under a light microscope equipped with an Olympus CX21 camera (Tokyo, Japan) (Fig. 1).

**Fluorescence in situ hybridization**

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) with Vysis probes was used to assess 1p/19q status. TMA sections were deparaffinized with xylene, incubated with 0.3% pepsin in 10 mM HCl at 37°C for 10 minutes, and then denatured at 85°C for 10 minutes. FISH analyses were performed on deparaffinized sections with a dual-color approach for chromosomes 1 and 19, respectively. Target probes were hybridized to subtelomeric 1p36 and 19q13 in combination with control probes on 1q25 and 19p13, respectively. For evaluation, the signal ratio in 50–100 adjacent, non-overlapping interphase nuclei was assessed, and the results were expressed as percentage (Fig. 2).

**Statistical analysis**

Continuous data were presented as the mean ± standard deviation, while categorical data were presented as frequencies and percentages. Continuous variables that were not normally distributed (as evaluated by Kolmogorov-Smirnov tests) were presented as medians and 25th and 75th percentiles. Differences in baseline characteristics were estimated using the chi-square test. Overall survival (OS) was defined as the time from the date of surgery to death from any cause. The discriminative value of the 2007 and 2016 WHO classifications were estimated using Cox
proportional hazard regression model for all-cause mortality. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to estimate survival distributions.

All statistical tests were two-sided, and a p-value of < .05 was considered significant. All statistical analyses were conducted using SPSS ver. 22.0 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA).

**Ethics statement**

All procedures performed in the current study were approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Bundang Hospital (B-1703/385-302) and Research Ethics Committee of the Mongolian National University of Medical Sciences (MNUMS) (2017/3-201702) in accordance with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments. Formal

---

**Fig. 1.** IDH1 immunohistochemical staining of diffuse brain glioma. (A) Definite fried egg appearance (perinuclear halo) of oligodendroglioma in hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining ×100. (B) The tumor cells express IDH1 in the cytoplasm by immunohistochemistry. The unstained cells in the brain parenchyma represent non-neoplastic cells. (C) H&E staining of anaplastic astrocytoma with pleomorphic nucleus ×100. (D) The tumor cells are negative for IDH1 immunostaining.

**Fig. 2.** Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis of 1p/19q co-deletion on formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded specimen: (A) FISH preparation showing 1p deletion in an oligodendroglioma. A tumor cell in 1p deletion status is clearly seen, with a 2:1 ratio of control (green) signals and target (red) signal. (B) FISH preparation showing 19q deletion in the same oligodendroglioma case. One cell is labeled showing one red signal for the 19q test probe and two green signals for the 19q control probe, indicating loss of one copy of 19q.
written informed consent was not required with a waiver by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Bundang Hospital and Research Ethics Committee of the MNUMS.

**RESULTS**

**Patient characteristics**

Data of 124 patients diagnosed with diffuse brain glioma between January 2006 and December 2017 were collected (men, 48.4% and women, 51.6%). The median age at diagnosis was 41 years (interquartile range [IQR], 29 to 52), and the median follow-up period was 8 months (IQR, 4 to 15). Grade II, III, and IV tumors developed in 45.2% (n = 56), 26.6% (n = 33), and 28.2% (n = 35) of patients, respectively. Approximately 46.8% (n = 58) of patients underwent complete tumor resection, while 53.2% (n = 66) underwent partial tumor resection. According to the 2007 WHO classification, 23.4% (n = 29) of patients developed diffuse astrocytoma; 21% (n = 26), oligodendroglioma; 0.8% (n = 1), oligoastrocytoma; 13.7% (n = 17), anaplastic astrocytoma; 12.9% (n = 16), anaplastic oligodendroglioma; and 28.2% (n = 35), glioblastoma. Patients’ baseline characteristics are summarized in Table 1.

**Molecular data and tumor reclassification according to the 2016 WHO classification**

A total of 124 patients underwent FISH test; however, 32 patients, whose tissue samples were archived before 2012, showed no signal on FISH test. Therefore, 92 patients were analyzed for 1p/19q co-deletion by FISH. About 32 patients, with absence of signal on FISH test, were reclassified based on histological pattern and IDH1 mutation status only without 1p/19q co-deletion information. Immunohistochemical staining of IDH1 was performed on all 124 patients. 1p/19q co-deletion was detected in 13 of 92 patients (10.5%) who underwent FISH test, and IDH1 mutation was detected in 70 of 124 IDH1 immunostained patients (56.5%).

The molecular studies performed for reclassification have limitations in this study. The updated 2016 WHO classification of CNS tumors recommends full assessment of IDH mutation status (sequence analysis for IDH1 codon 132 and IDH2 codon 172) in cases of diffuse gliomas that are immunohistochemically negative for IDH1 R132H mutation. In the present study, IDH mutation status has been investigated only by immunohistochemistry, and no further molecular analysis for IDH mutation was performed. Therefore, we reclassified IDH1 immuno-negative diffuse gliomas as diffuse gliomas, IDH-wildtype, NOS. Among 32 cases which showed technical failure for FISH test, neither immunohistochemical expression of IDH1 nor morphological phenotype of oligodendroglioma was detected. Therefore, there was no case of oligodendroglioma/anaplastic oligodendroglioma, IDH-mutant, NOS.

According to the updated 2016 WHO classification, diffuse astrocytomas (n = 29) were reclassified into 18 diffuse astrocytomas IDHmut (IDH-mutant), nine diffuse astrocytomas IDHwt (IDH-wildtype), NOS, and two oligodendrogliomas IDHmut and 1p/19q co-deleted. Anaplastic astrocytomas (n = 17) were reclassified into eight anaplastic astrocytomas IDHmut, eight anaplastic astrocytomas IDHwt, NOS, and one anaplastic oligodendroglioma IDHmut and 1p/19q co-deleted. Glioblastomas (n = 35) were all reclassified into glioblastoma IDHwt, NOS. Oligodendrogliomas (n = 26) were reclassified into 16 diffuse astrocytomas IDHmut, eight oligodendrogliomas IDHmut and 1p/19q co-deleted, and two diffuse astrocytomas IDHmut, NOS. Anaplastic oligodendrogliomas (n = 16) were reclassified into 14 anaplastic astrocytomas IDHmut and two anaplastic oligodendroglia-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, median (IQR, yr)</td>
<td>41 (29–52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>60 (48.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>64 (51.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO tumor grade</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade II</td>
<td>56 (45.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade III</td>
<td>33 (26.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grade IV</td>
<td>35 (28.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of surgery</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complete resection</td>
<td>58 (46.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial resection</td>
<td>66 (53.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immunohistochemical and molecular changes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53 expression &gt; 10%</td>
<td>53 (42.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PTEN loss</td>
<td>73 (54.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGFR amplification</td>
<td>43 (32.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT1 high expression</td>
<td>39 (29.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDH1mut</td>
<td>70 (56.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1p/19q co-deletion</td>
<td>13 (10.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histological diagnosis according to the 2007 WHO classification</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Astrocytic tumors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse astrocytoma (grade II)</td>
<td>29 (23.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma (grade III)</td>
<td>17 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma (grade IV)</td>
<td>35 (28.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligodendrogial tumors</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligodendroglioma (grade II)</td>
<td>26 (21.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic oligodendroglioma (grade III)</td>
<td>16 (12.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed oligoastrocytoma (grade II)</td>
<td>1 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IQR, interquartile range; WHO, World Health Organization; PTEN, phosphatase and tensin homolog; EGFR, endothelial growth factor receptor; WT1, Wilms tumor 1; IDH1mut, isocitrate dehydrogenase 1-mutant.
omas IDHmut and 1p/19q co-deleted. One case of mixed oligoastrocytoma was reclassified as diffuse astrocytoma IDHmut. The summary of integrated diagnosis is shown in Table 2 and Fig. 3.

In this study, 124 patients were reclassified as diffuse astrocytoma IDHmut, 28.2% (n = 35); diffuse astrocytoma IDHmut, NOS, 8.9% (n = 11); anaplastic astrocytoma IDHmut, 17.7% (n = 22); anaplastic astrocytoma IDHmut, NOS, 6.5% (n = 8); oligodendroglioma IDHmut and 1p/19q co-deleted, 8.1% (n = 10); anaplastic oligodendroglioma IDHmut and 1p/19q co-deleted, 2.4% (n = 3); and glioblastoma IDHmut, NOS, 28.2% (n = 35). None of the patients developed glioblastoma IDHmut (Table 3).

There was a significant change in frequency of both oligodendroglial (34.7% to 10.5%) and astrocytic (37.1% to 60.7%) entities after reclassification according to the new 2016 WHO classification. The frequencies of 1p/19q co-deletion and IDH1 mutation were significantly higher in patients with low-grade tumors (grade II) than in patients with high-grade tumors (grades III and IV) (17.9% vs 4.4%, p < .05 for 1p/19q co-deletion and 80.4% vs 36.8%, p < .001 for IDH1 mutation). Notably, neither 1p/19q co-deletion nor IDH1 mutation was observed in patients with grade IV glioblastoma (Table 3).

Table 2. Summary of subgroups of diffuse gliomas according to updated 2016 WHO classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse astrocytoma (n = 29)</td>
<td>Diffuse astrocytoma IDHmut</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diffuse astrocytoma IDHwt, NOS</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oligodendroglioma IDHmut, 1p/19q co-deleted</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma (n = 17)</td>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma IDHmut</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma IDHwt, NOS</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anaplastic oligodendroglioma IDHmut, 1p/19q co-deleted</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma (n = 35)</td>
<td>Glioblastoma IDHwt, NOS</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligodendroglioma (n = 26)</td>
<td>Diffuse astrocytoma IDHmut</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oligodendroglioma IDHmut, 1p/19q co-deleted</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Diffuse astrocytoma IDHwt, NOS</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic oligodendroglioma (n = 16)</td>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma IDHmut</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Anaplastic oligodendroglioma IDHmut, 1p/19q co-deleted</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed oligoastrocytoma (n = 1)</td>
<td>Diffuse astrocytoma IDHmut</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>124</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WHO, World Health Organization; IDHmut, isocitrate dehydrogenase mutant; IDHwt, NOS, isocitrate dehydrogenase wildtype, not otherwise specified.

Fig. 3. Change in diagnosis after applying molecular genetics integrated diagnostic criteria according to the updated 2016 WHO classification. WHO, World Health Organization; IDHmut, isocitrate dehydrogenase mutant; IDHwt, NOS, isocitrate dehydrogenase wildtype, not otherwise specified; 1p/19q codel, 1p/19q co-deleted.
higher hazard ratio than the 2007 WHO classification (Table 4). However, the new 2016 WHO classification had a statistically significant survival advantage for grade II tumors compared with diffuse glioma and oligodendroglioma IDHmut and 1p/19q co-deleted and diffuse astrocytoma IDHwt, NOS in grade II tumors (p < .01). Both IDHwt, NOS, isocitrate dehydrogenase wildtype, not otherwise specified. WHO, World Health Organization; IDHmut, isocitrate dehydrogenase mutant; IDH wt, NOS, isocitrate dehydrogenase wildtype, not otherwise specified.

Prognostic value of 2016 WHO classifications

During follow-up, all-cause mortality occurred in 61.3% (n = 76) of patients, and 38.7% (n = 48) of patients survived. Median OS was 13 months (95% confidence interval, 10.2 to 15.7). The discriminative value of the 2007 and 2016 WHO classifications was tested using Cox proportional hazard regression model for all-cause mortality and summarized in Table 4. Oligodendroglial and diffuse glioma IDHmut and 1p/19q co-deleted were selected as the reference diagnoses for 2007 and 2016 WHO classifications, respectively. The prognostic significance of the 2007 WHO classifications was compared with that of the new 2016 WHO classifications using Kaplan-Meier estimation. Both the 2007 and 2016 WHO classifications had significantly discriminative information for all-cause mortality (p < .001) (Fig. 4A, B). However, the new 2016 WHO classification had higher hazard ratio than the 2007 WHO classification (Table 4).

The new 2016 WHO classification showed a statistically significant survival advantage for grade II tumors compared with the 2007 WHO classification (Fig. 4C, D). Based on the 2007 WHO classification, no survival difference was found between patients with grade II tumors including those with oligodendroglioma, diffuse astrocytoma, and oligoastrocytoma (p = .437). However, the new 2016 WHO classification showed that oligodendroglioma IDHmut and 1p/19q co-deleted and diffuse astrocytoma IDHmut had better survival compared with diffuse astrocytoma IDHwt, NOS in grade II tumors (p < .01). Both 2007 and 2016 WHO classifications did not show any survival difference in patients with grade III and grade IV tumors (p = .777 and p = .936, respectively) (Fig. 4E, F).

**DISCUSSION**

Our study results were summarized as follows: (1) the new 2016 WHO classification led to substantial changes in the diagnosis of diffuse gliomas, and (2) the new integrated histomolecular classification, based on molecular data, provided more valuable prognostic information.

Studies over the last two decades clearly demonstrated that the genetic basis of oncogenesis is important for the development of brain tumor entities and clarified their role in patients’ prognosis. Boulay et al.14 and Hata et al.15 reported chromosomal changes in human gliomas. Notably, Mizoguchi et al.16 investigated the role of 1p/19q co-deletion in patients with glioblastoma and its prognostic relation. Furthermore, several studies revealed the frequency of IDH1 mutation and its prognostic value in human gliomas.17-20 Based on these results, new integrated WHO CNS tumor classification was introduced to clinical practice in 2016;16 conceptual and practical advances were made over previous versions.1 First, 2016 WHO classification is based on not only histological features but also genetic alterations of gliomas, such as 1p/19q co-deletion and IDH mutation, for diffuse brain glioma classification. Second, molecular pathologic tests are essen-

### Table 3. Comparison between 2007 and 2016 WHO classification of diffuse gliomas

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original histological diagnosis according to WHO 2007</th>
<th>WHO grade</th>
<th>No. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Astrocytic tumors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse astrocytoma</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>29 (23.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>17 (13.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>35 (28.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligodendroglial tumors</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligodendroglioma</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>26 (21.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Anaplastic oligodendroglioma                       | III       | 16 (12.9)
| Mixed oligoastrocytoma                            | II        | 1 (0.8)  |
| Integrated diagnosis according to updated WHO 2016 |           |         |
| Diffuse astrocytoma IDHmut                         | II        | 35 (28.2) |
| Diffuse astrocytoma IDHwt, NOS                     | II        | 11 (8.9)  |
| Anaplastic astrocytoma IDHmut                       | III       | 22 (17.7) |
| Anaplastic astrocytoma IDHwt, NOS                  | III       | 8 (6.5)   |
| Oligodendroglioma IDHmut, 1p/19q co-deleted        | II        | 10 (8.1)  |
| Anaplastic oligodendroglioma IDHmut, 1p/19q co-deleted| III       | 3 (2.4)   |
| Glioblastoma IDHwt, NOS                            | IV        | 35 (28.2) |

WHO, World Health Organization; IDHwt, NOS, isocitrate dehydrogenase mutant; IDHmut, isocitrate dehydrogenase mutant; IDH wt, NOS, isocitrate dehydrogenase wildtype, not otherwise specified.

### Table 4. Discriminative value of 2007 and 2016 WHO classification based on Cox proportional hazard regression for all-cause mortality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2007 WHO classification</th>
<th>HR</th>
<th>95% CI</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Oligodendroglioma</td>
<td>RF</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse astrocytoma</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.31–3.72</td>
<td>.913</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed oligoastrocytoma</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>0.49–17.6</td>
<td>.239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma IDHmut, 1p/19q co-deleted</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>0.87–15.6</td>
<td>.075</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2016 WHO classification

| Diffuse astrocytoma IDHwt, NOS | 4.13  | 1.34–15.0 | .015   |
| Anaplastic astrocytoma IDHmut, 1p/19q co-deleted | 3.95  | 1.16–13.4 | .028   |

WHO, World Health Organization; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; IDHwt, NOS, isocitrate dehydrogenase mutant; IDHmut, isocitrate dehydrogenase mutant; IDH wt, NOS, isocitrate dehydrogenase wildtype, not otherwise specified.
tial for the diagnosis of diffuse gliomas. The 2016 WHO classification of CNS tumors requires at least IDH1 immunohistochemistry and 1p/19q co-deletion status for the diagnosis of diffuse gliomas in addition to histologic evaluation. With regard to IDH mutation, mutation analyses of both IDH-1 and IDH-2 are recommended more than immunohistochemistry for detection of IDH1 hotspot mutation (p.R132H).

Mellai et al. reported that IDH1 mutations consisted 98.5%

Fig. 4. Kaplan-Meier curves for overall survival according to the 2007 WHO classification (A, C, E) and 2016 WHO classification (B, D, F), respectively. (A, B) For all tumors. (C, D) For grade II tumors. (E, F) For grades III and IV tumors. WHO, World Health Organization.
of all IDH mutations in gliomas. Among IDH1 mutations, 93.7% were c.395G > A (p.R132H) which can be detected by mIDH1R132H antibody immunostaining. There was a statistically significant correlation between mIDH1R132H antibody immunostaining and the relevant mutation c.395G > A (p.R132H) (p = .0001). Different types of IDH1 gene mutations at codon R132 have been identified, of which c.395G > A (p.R132H) mutation accounts for about 93%, c.394C > T (p.R132C) for 4%, c.394C > A (p.R132S) for 1.5% in the different types of gliomas.17,22

The 2016 WHO classification of CNS tumors is a global standard, and it has better prognostic significance than traditional histological classification. To perform the molecular diagnosis of gliomas according to the 2016 WHO classification of CNS tumors, full assessment of IDH mutation status and 1p/19q analyses are mandatory. Operating a well-equipped molecular laboratory is a challenging situation in the developing countries including Mongolia. Expansion of facilities for molecular pathology is required to avoid the diagnosis of diffuse glioma, NOS. Nowadays pathology laboratories in developing countries struggle to provide specialized molecular tests, and it requires increase of medical costs.

In this study, we could reclassify Mongolian diffuse gliomas according to the new 2016 WHO classification. Reclassification revealed substantial changes in diagnosis of both oligodendrogial and astrocytic entities. For example, histologically astrocytic entities, which had both IDH mutation and 1p/19q co-deletion, were reclassified into oligodendrogial entities (3 of 46 patients), and oligodendrogial entities, without 1p/19q co-deletion, were reclassified into astrocytic entities (30 of 42 patients). Furthermore, molecular subgroups, such as IDHmut and IDHwt, NOS, were added to the diagnosis of diffuse glioma based on the results of immunohistochemical staining of IDH1. Similar results were observed in the French POLA cohort study by Tabouret et al.3

The previous studies suggest that 1p/19q co-deletion or IDH mutation is a relatively early event during the development of glioma.21,24 As a result, the frequency of 1p/19q co-deletion or IDH mutation could be higher in low-grade gliomas. In our study, the frequency of 1p/19q co-deletion and IDH1 mutation were significantly higher in patients with low-grade gliomas than in those with high-grade gliomas (17.9% vs 4.4%, p < .05 and 80.4% vs 36.8% p < .001, respectively).

Reclassification of diffuse gliomas not only categorizes molecular subgroups of diffuse gliomas but it also has important prognostic value. Yan et al.25 revealed that tumors with IDH mutation are distinctive genetically and clinically, and had better outcomes than those with wildtype IDH gene. Akagi et al.10 and Taburet et al.3 demonstrated that the new 2016 WHO classification has better prognostic value in terms of OS. In particular, IDHmut is a strong prognostic marker and is associated with better survival compared with IDHwt.5,10 However, the prognostic advantage of IDH1mut was only evident for low-grade gliomas in this study.

In our study, the 2016 WHO classification showed higher hazard ratio for OS than the 2007 WHO classification and reinforced the prognostic value of integrated histomolecular classification. The 2007 WHO classification did not show survival difference in grade II tumors, whereas 2016 WHO classification showed that oligodendroglioma, IDHmut and 1p/19q co-deleted and diffuse astrocytoma IDHmut had better survival compared with diffuse astrocytoma IDHwt, NOS in grade II tumors.

Finally, our study has several limitations. First, the total study population was relatively small compared with those in other similar studies. Therefore, further studies with a large sample size are required to confirm these findings. Second, there were some technical difficulties associated with FISH testing. In our study, 32 patients who were diagnosed before 2012 had no signal on FISH test. It could be caused by laboratory suboptimal conditions such as poor fixation of tissue and storage duration of tissue blocks. Therefore, we reclassified those cases based on histological pattern and IDH1 mutation status only without 1p/19q co-deletion information. Third, IDH mutation status has been investigated only by immunohistochemistry, and no further molecular analysis for IDH mutation was performed in cases of diffuse gliomas that are immunohistochemically negative for IDH1 R132H mutation. Therefore, we could not avoid the diagnosis of diffuse gliomas, IDH-wildtype, NOS.

This is the first study to report the reclassification of Mongolian diffuse gliomas according to the revised 2016 WHO CNS tumor classification. There has been no study regarding pathological classification of brain gliomas according to the 2007 WHO classification as well as survival analysis of gliomas in Mongolia. In spite of several technical limitations, our results were still similar to those of previous publications. Additionally, we have confirmed that the revised 2016 WHO CNS tumor classification is also feasible for Mongolian diffuse gliomas and that it has prognostic significance in Mongolian patients with diffuse gliomas.
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Primary breast sarcoma (PBS) is a rare heterogeneous group of tumors, comprising approximately 1% of breast malignancies. Distribution of histologic subtypes varies among studies; however, angiosarcoma is the most prevalent while liposarcoma, fibrosarcoma, and malignant fibrous histiocytoma have also been reported.

Rhabdomyosarcoma (RMS) is one of the most common sarcomas in young patients; however, RMS of the breast origin is very rare, with only 26 cases reported in international journals. Among the reported cases, alveolar subtype was most common, followed by embryonal, spindle cell/sclerosing, and pleomorphic subtypes. Spindle cell/sclerosing RMS (ssRMS) is a new subtype of RMS included in the World Health Organization Classification of Tumors of Soft Tissue and Bone in 2013, and only one primary breast ssRMS has been reported in an international journal.

We recently encountered a case of ssRMS of the breast that could have been misdiagnosed as some other spindle cell sarcoma. To better understand the clinicopathological characteristics of these rare conditions, we searched and reviewed data from Asan Medical Center databases and reviewed the literature related to this disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Case selection

Upon reviewing Asan Medical Center pathology database between January 1, 2000 and November 30, 2018, 41 PBS cases including three cases of primary RMS of the breast were retrieved. Cases of metastatic sarcoma and radiation-induced
Immunohistochemical study

For immunohistochemical (IHC) staining, 4-μm thick tissue sections were deparaffinized and hydrated by immersion in xylene and a graded ethanol series. Endogenous peroxidase was blocked by incubation in 3% H2O2 for 10 minutes, and then heat-induced antigen retrieval was performed. Primary antibody staining was performed using a BenchMark autostainer (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, USA) following the manufacturer’s protocol. Sections were incubated at room temperature for 24 or 32 minutes in primary antibodies for desmin (1:200, D33, Dako, Glostrup, Denmark), myogenic differentiation 1 (1:50, MyoD1, EP212, Cell Marque, Rocklin, CA, USA), myogenin (1:200, Neomarkers, Fremont, CA, USA), smooth muscle actin (SMA; 1:4,000, 1A4, Dako), nestin (1:1,000, 10C2, Cell Marque), CD34 (1:500, QBEND10, Immunotech, Marseille, France), CD56 (1:100, 504, Leica, Chicago, IL, USA), pancytokeratin AE1/AE3 (CK AE1/AE3, 1:400, Leica), and pancytokeratin MNF-116 (1:100, MNF 116, Dako), and then labeled with an iView detection kit using the automated immunostaining system (Benchmark XT, Ventana Medical Systems). Immunostained sections were lightly counterstained with hematoxylin, dehydrated in ethanol, and cleared in xylene.

Nested real time polymerase chain reaction (nested reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction)

An alveolar RMS (aRMS) case was examined for nested reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) of FAX3/TKHR (FOXO1) fusion and FAX7/TKFR (FOXO1) fusion gene transcript. After the histologic and IHC examinations, formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded block were used for the test. DNA was extracted using the RecoverAll Total Nucleic Acid Isolation Kit (Life Technologies, Carlsbad, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The DNA quality was assessed by amplification of the housekeeping gene β-globulin.

The nested RT-PCR was carried out with ABI 7500 RT-PCR system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) using 2 μL of genomic DNA as the template, 1 μL of each primer, 1 μL of each probe, 2 μL of dNTP, 2.5 μL of buffer, 0.1 μL of Taq polymerase, and 16.4 μL of distilled water, in a total volume of 25 μL. The synthesized primer PAX3/PAX7 (5’-CGACACGAATGACCTGCT-3’ and reverse transcript 3’-CCAAGAICTTTCCAGTTCC-5’) was used. The thermal cycling conditions of the first cycle of nested RT-PCR were 5 minutes at 94°C, followed by 35 cycles of 40 seconds at 94°C, 40 seconds at 55°C and 1 minute at 72°C. After 1 cycle of 10 minutes at 72°C, second RT-PCR was performed with the same thermal cycling condition. A positive result for PAX3/PAX7-TKFR (FOXO1) was defined as a threshold cycle (CT) value < 40, and the internal control was defined as a CT value < 36.

Ethics statement

The study plan was reviewed by the institutional review board of Asan Medical Center and it was exempted from deliberation (exemption number: 2018-0883); informed consent was obtained from the only living patient.

RESULTS

Case descriptions

Patient A

Patient A was a 14-year-old Korean girl who had a painful 10-cm mass in her left breast. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) revealed a huge, heterogeneously enhancing mass measuring 12×9.5×8.3 cm that involved her whole left breast. No other abnormalities were identified on MRI and computed tomography (Fig. 1A).

A skin-sparing mastectomy was performed. The surgical specimen included fragmented tumor tissue measuring 16.7×10.2×3.5 cm in an aggregate, weighing 711 g. The cut surface of the mass showed creamy white-colored trabecular features with firm texture (Fig. 1B). Microscopic examination revealed a mesenchymal tumor composed of spindle cells, with a fascicular growth pattern and varying amount of myxoid matrix (Fig. 1C). The nuclei were elongated and highly pleomorphic; the cytoplasm was pale to eosinophilic (Fig. 1D). The mitotic rate was extremely high (up to 94/10 high-power fields). No epithelial component was identified in the tumor. On IHC staining, the tumor cells tested positive for desmin, MyoD1, myogenin, and nestin but not for CK AE1/AE3, MNF-116, SMA, CD34, and S100 (Fig. 1E–H). ssRMS of the breast was diagnosed based on histological and IHC findings. Resection margin could not be assessed because of the disruption caused during mastectomy. She was postoperatively treated with combination chemotherapy (vincristine, actinomycin, and cyclophosphamide).

https://doi.org/10.4132/jptm.2019.07.22
Fig. 1. Primary breast sarcoma of patient A. (A) A 12 × 9.5 × 8.3-cm-sized heterogeneously enhancing mass in the left breast (yellow arrow). (B) Grayish-yellow cut surface of the mass with multifocal hemorrhagic spots and central cavity formation. (C, D) Spindle cells exhibiting a fascicular or storiform growth pattern with a small amount of myxoid matrix. The image showing highly pleomorphic elongated nuclei and pale to eosinophilic cytoplasm, with high mitotic rates (up to 94/10 high power fields). (E–H) Immunohistochemical staining showing positivity of tumor cells for MyoD1 (E), desmin (F), and myogenin (G) and negativity for MNF-116 (H).
Four-month post-mastectomy, a mass was identified at the operation site and diagnosed as a recurrent ssRMS. The patient continued chemotherapy (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, and ifosfamide) and radiation therapy.

**Patient B**

Patient B was a 16-year-old Korean girl who had a 10-cm mass in her left breast. Imaging examination at an outside hospital revealed a breast mass and multiple metastatic lesions in the lumbar spine and left axillary lymph nodes. She underwent core needle biopsy of the breast mass; the tumor was diagnosed as aRMS.

After receiving the first neoadjuvant chemotherapy cycle, she was referred to our center and continued to receive the treatment for 8 cycles. However, MRI showed no change in the status of the left breast and metastatic masses.

The patient underwent palliative mastectomy. The surgical specimen consisted of a lump of breast tissue that measured 9.5 × 8.5 × 4 cm and weighed 114 g. A well-demarcated lobulating soft mass measuring 4 × 2.5 × 2 cm was identified in the breast parenchyma; the resection margins were clear. The mass was composed of nests and sheets of primitive round cells separated by fibrous septa. These nests exhibited a central loss of cellular cohesion (Fig. 2A). IHC staining of the tumor cells yielded positive results for desmin (Fig. 2B), CD56, and myogenin (Fig. 2C) and negative results for CK AE/AE3 and SMA.

**PAX3-FKHR (FOXO1) fusion transcripts** [t(2;13)(q35;q14)] were identified using nested RT-PCR, supporting the diagnosis of aRMS (Fig. 2D). Post-surgery, she received eight chemotherapy cycles (carboplatin, VP-16, and ifosphamide), which was interrupted by fungal infection and low platelet counts. Follow-up imaging examination revealed recurrence of the chest wall mass and exacerbation of the multifocal bone metastasis with bone marrow involvement. The patient died due to respiratory suppression 22-month post-diagnosis.

**Patient C**

Patient C was a previously healthy 25-year-old Korean woman who had palpable masses on her left breast. Ultrasonography and MRI revealed multiple infiltrative masses in her left breast parenchyma; the resection margins were clear. The mass was composed of nests and sheets of primitive round cells separated by fibrous septa. These nests exhibited a central loss of cellular cohesion (Fig. 2A). IHC staining of the tumor cells yielded positive results for desmin (Fig. 2B), CD56, and myogenin (Fig. 2C) and negative results for CK AE/AE3 and SMA.

**PAX3-FKHR (FOXO1) fusion transcripts** [t(2;13)(q35;q14)] were identified using nested RT-PCR, supporting the diagnosis of aRMS (Fig. 2D). Post-surgery, she received eight chemotherapy cycles (carboplatin, VP-16, and ifosphamide), which was interrupted by fungal infection and low platelet counts. Follow-up imaging examination revealed recurrence of the chest wall mass and exacerbation of the multifocal bone metastasis with bone marrow involvement. The patient died due to respiratory suppression 22-month post-diagnosis.
that measured up to 3 cm (Fig. 3A). Positron emission tomography revealed multiple hypermetabolic lesions in the left breast and axillary lymph nodes.

Ultrasound-guided needle biopsy of the breast mass was performed. The lesion consisted of loosely cohesive small round cells showing solid sheet or cord-like growth patterns. The cells had scant amounts of cytoplasm and round-to-polygonal shaped hyperchromatic nuclei (Fig. 3B). On IHC examination, these cells showed nuclear positivity for myogenin and negativity for desmin (Fig. 3C, D). The tumors were diagnosed as embryonal RMS (eRMS) based on histological and IHC findings.

The patient received 5 cycles of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (vincristine, adriamycin, cyclophosphamide, ifosfamide, and etoposide) and radiation therapy; however, 8 months post-diagnosis, multiple metastatic lesions were found in bone and left upper lobe of the lung. She received additional chemotherapy but died due to adriamycin cardiotoxicity 18 months post-diagnosis.

Other PBSs

A total of 41 patients were diagnosed with PBS from 2000 to 2018 at Asan Medical Center (Table 1). Among these, two cases were males (5%); one case each of dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans (DFSP) and fibrosarcoma. Mean age of the 41 patients was 44.1 years (range, 14 to 75 years); the most common age group was 50–60 years old (15 cases, 37%) (Table 1).

Angiosarcoma was the most common type of PBS (13 cases, 32%), followed by extra-skeletal osteosarcoma (5 cases, 12%), liposarcoma, and DFSP (4 cases, 10%, respectively); other PBS types occurred with a similar frequency as each other (Table 1, Fig. 4). Among patients aged less than 30 years (7/41 cases), angiosarcoma was not the dominant subtype; instead, we identified three cases of RMS and one case each of undifferentiated spindle cell sarcoma, DFSP, angiosarcoma, and leiomyosarcoma (Table 1, Fig. 4).

Two cases were consultation cases for which only hematoxylin and eosin slides were available for review, and thus it was difficult to confirm the subtype. These were categorized as indeterminate type.
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DISCUSSION

PBSs are a group of mesenchymal-derived de novo malignancies, accounting for less than 1% of breast cancer.4,10 Due to their rarity, large scale analyses have been limited, and PBS has no known etiology.4,11 Some breast sarcomas are related with previous treatment (radiation therapy, therapy-associated chronic lymphedema and variable chemicals), and they are categorized as secondary breast sarcoma.4,11,12

Thus far, angiosarcoma is the most commonly reported PBS; virtually any type of sarcoma can occur in the breast as a PBS.13 Based on our 18-year institutional experience, angiosarcoma is the most common type of PBS, followed by extra-skeletal osteosarcoma and liposarcoma. However, the distribution of PBS subtypes is not consistent across age groups; angiosarcomas were mostly found in middle-aged women (age, 40 to 60 years). Among young females (age, 10 to 30 years), angiosarcoma was rare. RMS was the most common subtype of PBS in this age group (Table 1, Fig. 4).

Only 26 cases of RMS of breast origin have been reported in English literature, almost exclusively in the young females.7 Demographic features, treatments, outcomes, and associated genetic findings of the 29 RMS cases (including our 3 cases) are given in Table 2.

Among the 29 cases, aRMS was the most common type (n = 17, 59%), followed by eRMS (n = 6, 21%), ssRMS (n = 2, 7%), and pleomorphic RMS (n = 1, 3%). Subtype-related information was not available for three cases (n = 3, 10%). All cases involved female patients; median age was 16.4 years (range, 11 to 60 years). Majority of the cases involved adolescents and young adults (range, 10 to 30 years; 24 cases, 83%); five cases (19.2%) involved middle-aged patients. All but one aRMS cases involved teenage girls; contrastingly, eRMS cases were almost equally distributed between adolescents and middle-aged women; the other RMS types mostly involved middle-aged patients. Interestingly, aRMS cases frequently presented with axillary lymphadenopathy, mimicking mammary carcinomas.

To the best of our knowledge, the ssRMS case in this series is the second to be reported in the literature. ssRMS is a newly classified subtype that accounts for 5%–10% of all RMS cases; it mostly involves paratesticular lesions in pediatric populations.

**Table 1. Distribution of primary breast sarcoma according to age (review of single institution)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>No. of cases (%)</th>
<th>Subtypes (number of cases)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>41 (100)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>2 (4)</td>
<td>DFSP (1), fibrosarcoma (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (range, yr)</td>
<td>44.1 (14–75)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age group (yr)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;20</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>RMS (2), undifferentiated spindle cell sarcoma (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20–30</td>
<td>4 (10)</td>
<td>RMS (1), DFSP (1), angiosarcoma (1), leiomyosarcoma (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30–40</td>
<td>6 (15)</td>
<td>Angiosarcoma (1), liposarcoma (2), osteosarcoma (2), DFSP (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40–50</td>
<td>10 (24)</td>
<td>Angiosarcoma (6), fibrosarcoma (2), leiomyosarcoma (1), DFSP (1), liposarcoma (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50–60</td>
<td>15 (37)</td>
<td>Angiosarcoma (8), indeterminate-type (2), osteosarcoma (2), UPS (1), myeloid sarcoma (1), liposarcoma (1), undifferentiated spindle cell sarcoma (1), MPNST (1), DFSP (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥60</td>
<td>3 (7)</td>
<td>Angiosarcoma (1), osteosarcoma (1), myxofibrosarcoma (1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DFSP, dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans; RMS, rhabdomyosarcoma; UPS, undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma; MPNST, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor.

**Fig. 4.** Age distribution of primary breast sarcoma (PBS) according to subtype. Angiosarcoma was the most common sarcoma, followed by extra-skeletal osteosarcoma, liposarcoma, and dermatofibrosarcoma protuberans (DFSP). The most prevalent age-group was 50–60 years. PBS was rare in people aged less than 30, and rhabdomyosarcoma was the most common subtype in this age-group. UPS, undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma; MPNST, malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor.
and head and neck and genitourinary lesions in adults. As sRMS was defined as a variant of eRMS, we reviewed all reports of eRMS for reclassification; however, none of the eRMS cases had the histological characteristics that indicated ssRMS.

Differential diagnoses vary according to RMS subtype, however, differentiation of malignant phyllodes tumor (MPT) with sarcomatous overgrowth is always important regardless of subtypes. Age can be used to differentiate these malignancies; most MPTs occur in the fifth or sixth decade of life, whereas primary RMS of the breast mainly affects young patients. Extensive tissue sampling is necessary, especially in middle-aged patients, as the epithelial component of the MPT can be very small. IHC results are critical after excluding MPT with sarcomatous overgrowth; single staining or a combination of desmin, MyoD1, and myogenin staining have been used to diagnose RMS. However, Parham et al. suggested that desmin should always be used as part of a panel and never as a sole diagnostic marker, as it can non-specifically stain other small round cells and smooth muscle cells. Despite positive IHC results, the possibility of other myogenic neoplasms cannot be excluded in some cases; therefore, genetic analysis is useful for both histological typing and diagnosis. Two characteristic cytogenetic changes—t(2;13)(q35;q14) resulting in PAX3-FKHR (FOXO1) fusion and t(1;13)(p13;q14) resulting in PAX7-FKHR (FOXO1) fusion—are identified in about 80% of aRMS cases, which allow aRMS to be distinguished from other types of round cell neoplasms and RMS. These fusions are known to be associated with the activation of transcription from PAX3/PAX7-binding sites and to contribute to tumorigenesis. In the D9803 COG study, failure-free survival of fusion-positive aRMS patients was lower than that of fusion-negative patients, and PAX3-FKHR (FOXO1) fusion-positive patients showed higher overall survival than PAX7-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Age (yr)</th>
<th>Subtype</th>
<th>Size (cm)</th>
<th>LN</th>
<th>Meta</th>
<th>Surgical procedure</th>
<th>Other treatment</th>
<th>Prognosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hays et al. (1997)</td>
<td>13.6</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>RTx</td>
<td>DOD 79 wk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>CTx, RTx</td>
<td>DOD 42 wk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Radical mastectomy</td>
<td>CTx</td>
<td>NED 252 wk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.9</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Radical mastectomy</td>
<td>RTx</td>
<td>NED 365 wk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Mastectomy</td>
<td>RTx</td>
<td>NED 150 wk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>RTx</td>
<td>NED 362 wk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>Embryonal</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>RTx</td>
<td>NED 362 wk</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herrera and Lugo-Vicente (1998)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Embryonal</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>CTx</td>
<td>NED 1 yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Binokay et al. (2003)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>MRM</td>
<td>NED 1 yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vishnevskai et al. (2004)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>RTx</td>
<td>DOD 3 yr 1 mo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italiani et al. (2005)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>Embryonal</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Quadrantectomy</td>
<td>CTx, RTx</td>
<td>NED 18 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nogi et al. (2007)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Total mastectomy</td>
<td>CTx</td>
<td>DOD 8 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Attilli et al. (2007)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>Embryonal</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>MRM</td>
<td>NED 1 yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rashanaru et al. (2011)</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>Spindle cell/sclerosing</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Mastectomy</td>
<td>NED 8 mo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li et al. (2012)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>MRM</td>
<td>NED 29 mo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valera et al. (2013)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>CRT</td>
<td>NED 1 yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bhosale et al. (2013)</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>MRM</td>
<td>NED 6 mo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mondal et al. (2014)</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>Pleomorphic</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>MRM</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>NED 12 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kallianpur et al. (2015)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Mastectomy</td>
<td>CTx, RTx</td>
<td>NED 2 mo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pareekutty et al. (2016)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>MRM</td>
<td>NED 35 mo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audino et al. (2017)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>MRM</td>
<td>Recur 19 mo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kim et al. (2017)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Mastectomy</td>
<td>Recur 5 mo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yuan et al. (2017)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>Mastectomy</td>
<td>Recur 23 mo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bayramoglu et al. (2018)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>NI</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>RTx</td>
<td>NED 1 yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jean-Louis (2018)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>Excision</td>
<td>NED 1 yr</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current study</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>Spindle cell/sclerosing</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>CTx, RTx</td>
<td>Recur 4 mo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Alveolar</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>CTx</td>
<td>DOD 22 mo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Embryonal</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>RTx</td>
<td>DOD 18 mo</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**LN**, lymph node status; **Meta**, metastasis; **NI**, not identified; **RTx**, radiotherapy; **DOD**, dead of disease; **CTX**, chemotherapy; **NED**, no evidence of disease; **MRM**, modified radical mastectomy; **neoCTX**, neoadjuvant chemotherapy; **CCRT**, concurrent chemoradiation therapy.

This was an identical case of Dausse et al. (2005).
FKHR (FOXO1) fusion–positive patients.17

Due to its rarity, definite treatment has not been established for RMS of the breast. Generally, axillary dissection of PBS is not recommended as sarcoma usually does not metastasize to lymph nodes; axillary dissection is only required in cases involving palpable lymphadenopathy. However, more than 50% of breast aRMS cases (12/17 cases, 71%) showed axillary node metastasis; moreover, 58% of these cases had no disseminated metastases (7/12 cases). These findings might suggest that axillary node metastasis of aRMS is not only incidental findings of disseminated metastasis, but also early event of disease spreading. Therefore, axillary node dissection or sentinel node sampling of aRMS needs to be considered in cases that require surgery.

RMS of the breast is a rare malignancy that mainly occurs in young females. Our experience regarding RMS cases suggests that spindle cell or small round cell malignancies in the breasts of young females should be suspected of being primary or secondary RMSs. This is the second report that describes a case of ssRMS of the breast, and it may help clinicians who encounter this rare disease in the future.5,7,9,10,12,14,18-31
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Pathologic diagnosis is usually based on a comprehensive analysis of biomarker expression along with morphological features of lesions. An integrated analysis of the expression pattern of various biomarkers may be a decisive factor in pathologic diagnosis. For example, in lymphoproliferative lesions of childhood, whether atypical cells express Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-encoded RNA (EBER), and whether they express CD4, CD8, CD56, and/or CD30 may play a key role in diagnosis. Treatment plans for patients may be determined by the expression of specific biomarkers, such as with CD20 expression for rituximab therapy in diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (DLBCL). In pathologic practices, in situ hybridization (ISH) or immunohistochemistry (IHC) is usually performed to detect genetic material or the expression of protein antigens because of their effectiveness and efficiency. The number of biomarkers evaluated for diagnosis has increased, increasing the need for minimal tissue consumption for the state-of-the-art ancillary tests, especially in small biopsies. However, until recently, ISH and IHC have usually been stained with a single marker per slide. Multistaining can be presented as a way to both reduce tissue consumption and easily identify the cell type expressing biomarkers and patterns of expression. The benefits of multiple IHC or immunofluorescence staining have already been demonstrated in several cancer diagnostic strategies.
multiple ISH and IHC procedures are performed, the possibility of tissue damage and antigen loss becomes high, and it becomes difficult to precisely evaluate biomarker expression and morphology of the tissue at a practical level. Most automated immunostainers automate all staining steps from deparaffinization to visualization, thereby minimizing errors that can occur during manual work and greatly improving accuracy. However, there are few studies on well-established staining methods for multistaining with ISH and IHC using automated immunostainers.

This study aimed to devise an optimized protocol for multiple ISH and IHC staining on automated immunostainers. The quality of multistaining was evaluated by carefully changing each step of ISH and IHC with formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tissues of EBV-associated malignancies.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Specimens**

A case of angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma (AITL) with sufficient resected lymph node tissue and confirmed EBV infection at primary diagnosis was selected as the representative specimen for testing ISH and IHC staining conditions. A total of 15 EBV-associated malignancies were further used to validate the optimal multistaining protocol. These 15 samples included three EBV-positive DLBCL, three extranodal natural killer/T-cell lymphomas, three classical Hodgkin lymphomas (cHL) of mixed cellularity type, three AITLs, and three EBV-positive gastric carcinomas with lymphoid stroma (EBV-GCLS). Four EBV-negative malignancies including peripheral T-cell lymphoma and gastric adenocarcinoma were stained with the optimized protocol as controls. All specimens were FFPE tissues and each was cut to a thickness of 4 μm.

**EBER-ISH and IHC**

EBER-ISH and IHC for protein antigens were performed using the Ventana BenchMark XT automatic immunostainer following the manufacturer’s recommendations (Ventana Medical Systems, Tucson, AZ, USA). EBER-ISH was done with an ISH iView Blue Detection Kit. Protein removal and nucleic acid exposures used ISH protease 2 (#780-4148) or ISH protease 3 (#780–4149), respectively, and the reaction times were varied. Counterstaining was not performed.

Details of the primary antibodies used in IHC and probes used in ISH are summarized in Supplementary Table S1. Two different coloring agents, 3,3'-diaminobenzidine (DAB) and new fuchsin, were used for double ISH-IHC or triple ISH-IHC staining either alone or in combination. For color development with DAB, the OptiView DAB Detection Kit (hereafter, OptiView kit) was used. Baking and deparaffinization steps were either performed or omitted. When indicated, baking was carried out at 65°C for 10 minutes. For heat-induced epitope retrieval (HIER), treatment time of cell conditioning 1 (CC1) was varied. The dilution ratio of primary antibody was varied taking into consideration the dilution ratio used in single IHC, and the reaction time was varied at 37°C. Counterstaining was performed or omitted as indicated. For color development with new fuchsin, the UltraView Universal Alkaline Phosphatase Red Detection Kit (hereafter, UltraView kit) was used. CC1 treatment time was varied. Dilution ratio and reaction times of primary antibodies were also varied. Counterstaining was performed or omitted as indicated.

EBER-ISH and IHC were also performed using another immunostainer, the Leica Bond III automated immunostainer (Leica Biosystems, Melbourne, Australia). EBER-ISH was performed with the Bond polymer refine detection kit, fluorescein-conjugated EBER oligonucleotide probe (#PB0589) and a mouse monoclonal anti-fluorescein antibody (#AR0833). Procedures were carried out in the same manner as on the Ventana equipment.

**Evaluation of the quality of multistaining protocols**

The quality of ISH-IHC staining protocols was semi-quantitatively scored by a pathologist (H.G.) and a medical laboratory scientist (J.K.J.) according to the following criteria relative to the quality of a single stain of EBER-ISH or counterstain (Supplementary Fig. S1A–S1C): score 1, no expression (<1% of cells for which target antigen expression is expected) and scarce preservation of cell or nucleus shape; score 2, rare expression (1% to <10%) and poor preservation; score 3, minimal expression (10% to <50%) and bare preservation; score 4, moderate expression (50% to <75%) and fair preservation; Score 5, abundant expression (≥75%) and good preservation. In the case of IHC, intensity of the staining and suitability of the color development position, i.e., location of expression, were evaluated from 1 to 5 points.

The quality of ISH, IHC, and hematoxylin counterstain was separately evaluated and scored. The scores were weighted based on the comparison between the results of single staining and staining according to the nominal protocol. The greater the change in the state of staining from the previous step to the later step, the greater the weight was assigned to the later step, except for the counterstain. More specifically, ISH, the first procedure of multistaining, was given a weight of 0.15 because it was
minimally affected by various factors that reduce the quality of the staining. The intensity and location of IHC were given a weight of 0.30 and 0.35, respectively, because those parameters were more useful in achieving the purpose of immunostaining to evaluate the expression of a targeted antigen, while counterstaining with hematoxylin was given a weight of 0.20. The total score was added to the score of each item to which weights were applied and the maximum was 5.

Ethics statement
Exemption from informed consent after de-identification of samples was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Asan Medical Center (2017-0522).

RESULTS
Multistaining according to nominal protocol
A simple repeat protocol according to the single staining protocols of ISH and IHC was termed the nominal protocol, P1.

When EBER-ISH and CD20- or CD3-IHC staining was sequentially performed on the same slide tissue section ofAITL, EBER expression was maintained at the same level as single staining, but CD20 and CD3 were poorly expressed, denaturation of the tissue was so severe that it was difficult to identify the shape of the cells and the quality of the counterstain was also poor (Supplementary Fig. S1D, S1E). As expected, EBER-ISH was not expressed at all using the reverse P1 protocol, i.e., performing IHC first and then ISH, with the same AITL case (Supplementary Fig. S2A, S2B).

Optimization of double ISH-IHC staining protocol
EBER-ISH and CD20-IHC double staining was performed under various conditions with the same tissue used for P1. Double ISH-IHC staining was conducted to tune optimal conditions for five factors, i.e., ISH-protease, CC1, additional baking/deparaffinization, primary antibody dilution and primary antibody reaction time. These factors were considered to affect the quality of multistaining and each protocol was designated from P2-1 to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Nominal and optimization protocols of double staining for EBER-ISH and CD20-IHC on a Ventana BenchMark XT immunostainer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protocol</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization protocol for ISH-protease</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization protocol for CC1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P3-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization protocol for baking and deparaffinization</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4-4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4-7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4-8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P4-9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Optimization protocol for antibody dilution and incubation time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DS-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EBER, Epstein-Barr virus-encoded RNA; ISH, in situ hybridization; IHC, immunohistochemistry; CC1, cell conditioning 1; Ab, primary antibody; ND, not done. *Changes from nominal protocol P1.
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Table 2. Scores of the optimization protocols for multistaining on a Ventana BenchMark XT immunostainer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>EBER</th>
<th>CD20</th>
<th>CD3</th>
<th>Hematoxylin</th>
<th>Total score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Protocol</td>
<td>Intensity</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Intensity</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Optimization protocol of double staining for ISH protease

- P2-1: 0.45, 0.9, 0.7, ND, ND, 1, 3.05
- P2-2: 0.75*, 0.9*, 0.7*, ND, ND, 1*, 3.35*
- P2-3: 0.75, 0.9, 0.7, ND, ND, 0.4, 2.75
- P2-4: 0.75, 0.6, 0.35, ND, ND, 0.2, 1.9

Optimization protocol of double staining for CC1

- P3-1: 0.75, 0.6, 0.7, ND, ND, 1, 3.05
- P3-2: 0.75*, 0.9*, 0.7*, ND, ND, 1*, 3.35*
- P3-3: 0.75, 0.9, 0.7, ND, ND, 0.8, 3.15
- P3-4: 0.75, 0.9, 1.05, ND, ND, 0.6, 3.3

Optimization protocol of double staining for baking/deparaffinization

- P4-1: 0.75, 0.6, 0.7, ND, ND, 1, 3.05
- P4-2: 0.75*, 0.9*, 0.7*, ND, ND, 1*, 3.35*
- P4-3: 0.75, 0.9, 0.7, ND, ND, 0.8, 3.15
- P4-4: 0.75, 0.3, 0.35, ND, ND, 0.2, 1.6

Optimization protocol of double staining for antibody dilution and incubation time

- P5-1: 0.75, 0.9, 1.4, ND, ND, 1, 4.05
- P5-2: 0.75, 1.2, 1.4, ND, ND, 1, 4.35
- P5-3: 0.75, 0.9, 1.4, ND, ND, 1, 4.05
- P5-4: 0.75*, 1.5*, 1.75*, ND, ND, 1*, 5*

Optimization protocol of triple staining with CD3-IHCb

- TS-1: 0.75, 1.5, 1.75, 0.9, 1.05, 1, 3.7
- TS-2: 0.75*, 1.5*, 1.75*, 1.5*, 1.75*, 1*, 5*
- TS-3: 0.75, 1.5, 1.75, 1.5, 1.4, 0.8, 4.45
- TS-4: 0.75, 1.5, 1.75, 1.5, 1.05, 0.6, 3.9

EBER, Epstein-Barr virus–encoded RNA; ND, not done; ISH, in situ hybridization; CC1, cell conditioning 1; IHC, immunohistochemistry.

Scores indicate the best under each protocol; the CD20 score was excluded from the total score calculation because the effect of antigen retrieval on the CD3 antibody of the third procedure was analyzed based on the DS-4 protocol.

DS-4 as summarized in Tables 1 and 2. The reaction time of ISH-protease 2 was varied to minimize the deterioration of CD20-IHC and counterstain and to maintain the quality of EBER-ISH. ISH-protease 3 was set to 12 minutes and ISH-protease 2 was varied to 4, 8, or 12 minutes under CC1 treatment fixed at 32 minutes. Compared with those of the single stain, quality of CD20-IHC was approximately 60% (score 0.9) and 47% (0.7) improved, respectively, and counterstain quality was the same, but the EBER-ISH quality was deteriorated (0.45) with ISH-Protease 3. Thus, ISH-Protease 3 was discarded. In protocols using ISH-protease 2, protease reaction time did not show any deterioration or enhancement in the quality of EBER-ISH, but longer reaction times of ISH-protease worsened CD20-IHC and the counterstain. In P2-2 with the shortest ISH-protease treatment, EBER-ISH quality was not deteriorated and counterstaining showed good results. However, the score for CD20 IHC was only about 42.7% (1.6/3.25) of the single stain. The total score was 3.35, the highest among P2 protocols (Fig. 1A).

CC1 reaction time (P3 protocols) was varied to 8, 16, 32, and 40 minutes. The quality of CD20-IHC staining increased with longer CC1 reaction time, but the counterstain quality gradually decreased. Among P3 protocols, the quality of staining was best for P3-2 with a total score of 3.35 (Fig. 1B). Next, the effects of baking and additional deparaffinization on the quality of staining were evaluated. Nine P4 protocols were designed by setting the baking time to 10 minutes and varying ISH-protease 2 and...
Fig. 1. Multistaining using each protocol for optimization with angioimmunoblastic T-cell lymphoma tissue. (A) P2-2 (Epstein-Barr virus–encoded RNA [EBER], purple; CD20, brown). (B) P3-2 (EBER, purple; CD20, brown). (C) P4-2 (EBER, purple; CD20, brown). (D, E) DS-2 (EBER, purple; CD20, brown). (F, G) TS-4 (EBER, purple; CD20, red; CD3, brown). (H, I) double EBER in situ hybridization and CD20 immunohistochemistry staining on a Leica Bond III immunostainer (EBER, brown; CD20, red).
CC1 reaction times. In all cases, baking and deparaffinization did not deteriorate or improve the quality of staining (Fig. 1C).

Finally, the effects of dilution ratio and timing of primary antibody on the quality of multistaining were evaluated (DS protocols). Based on the optimal dilution ratio and time for CD20 single staining, the dilution ratio of CD20 antibody was halved from 1:200 to 1:100 and/or the reaction time was doubled from 60 to 120 minutes. As a result, the DS-4 protocol with a dilution factor of 1:100 of CD20 primary antibody and a reaction time of 120 minutes resulted in a total score of 5 by summing up the scores of EBER-ISH (0.75), CD20-IHC (3.25), hematoxylin (1) while maintaining a level of quality equivalent to the result of a single stain for each procedure in all evaluation factors (Fig. 1D, E).

Optimization of triple stain of EBER-ISH and double IHC

Based on optimized conditions with EBER and CD20 staining, CD3-IHC triplicate staining was performed under various conditions with the same AITL tissue. The quality of ISH and double IHC staining was evaluated by changing the same five factors as well as the ISH-IHC staining. Among them, CC1 reaction time of CD3-IHC was varied in the same manner as CD20-IHC (Table 3). Consequentially, even when CD3 antibody was added, the best results were obtained when staining was performed in the same manner as ISH-IHC staining, which maintained the same quality of staining as single stains with each probe or primary antibody (Fig. 1F, G).

To further evaluate the applicability of the optimized protocol, ISH-IHC staining was performed on a Leica Bond III automated immunostainer with each case of AITL, cHL, and EBV-GCLS. As with a Ventana immunostainer in all three cases, the best staining quality was obtained when EBER-ISH was first applied and Enzyme I protease treatment time was minimized. In more detail, EBER was fully expressed following treatment with protease K for 10 minutes in both single EBER-ISH and ISH-IHC, and background staining occurred with protease K treatment for 20 minutes or more and when the incubation time of the EBER probe was increased from 45 minutes in single EBER-ISH to 3 hours in ISH-IHC. Antibody dilution ratio was halved and antibody reaction time was doubled compared with single immunostaining conditions (Fig. 1H, I).

Validation of the optimized protocols in EBV-associated and non-associated malignancies

The optimized multi-EBER-ISH and double IHC staining protocols were validated in 15 EBV-associated (3 cases per cancer type) and four EBV-non-associated (2 cases per cancer type) malignancies. Staining conditions were the same as in the TS-4 protocol, and the dilution ratio of each antibody was concentrated to half the dilution of the single stain while reaction time was doubled (Table 4).

As showed in Fig. 2A and B, in the EBV-positive DLBCL, (1) EBER-ISH and CD20 (red)-/CD3 (DAB)-IHC and (2) EBER-ISH and CD20 (red)-/CD30 (DAB)-IHC staining was performed to evaluate whether EBV-infected cell types were identifiable. CD20-positive cells expressing a red membrane were large with atypical nuclei and diffusely proliferated. These cells were frequently observed with dark blue color nuclei, and the surrounding small lymphocytes were admixed with red or brown-expressing cells. These colors indicated that EBV-infected cells were not small T or B cells, but rather large atypical B cells. In addition, in EBER-ISH and CD20-/CD30-IHC staining, some EBV- and CD20-positive cells were observed to be reddish brown. When staining results were taken together, EBV-positive DLBCL were found to be neoplastic B cells infected with EBV and some of these cells expressed CD30. Similarly, it was easy to determine which biomarker was expressed on EBV-infected cells compared to single staining in other types of EBV-associated malignancies (Fig. 2C–J, Supplementary Fig. S3). Furthermore, for all tested EBV-associated malignancies, the staining quality of each biomarker and counterstain was nearly identical to that of a single stain. In contrast, EBER was never expressed but the staining quality was well preserved in all EBV-non-associated malignancies (Supplementary Fig. S4).

Table 3. Optimization of triple staining for EBER-ISH and CD20- and CD3-IHC staining on a Ventana BenchMark XT immunostainer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protocol</th>
<th>First procedure (EBER-ISH)</th>
<th>Second procedure (CD20-IHC)</th>
<th>Third procedure (CD3-IHC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protease (min)</td>
<td>CC1 (min)</td>
<td>Ab incubation (min)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS-1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS-2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS-3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS-4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EBER, Epstein-Barr virus–encoded RNA; ISH, in situ hybridization; IHC, immunohistochemistry; CC1, cell conditioning 1; Ab, primary antibody.

*Parameters indicate changes.
DISCUSSION

This study aimed to identify an optimized multistaining protocol using automated immunostainers and commercially available kits for ISH and IHC to make multiple staining easy to use in pathologic practice. Recently, a proper assessment of the expression of many biomarkers in diagnoses has become increasingly important. In particular, it is essential to accurately determine the immunophenotype of various biomarkers and morphology of cells in diagnosing many benign or malignant diseases associated with EBV infection.9 However, the immunophenotyping of atypical cells as well as the EBER-expressing cells is challenging and the various molecular tests frequently needed consume a lot of tissue. Thus, we selected EBV-associated malignancies to be representative examples for establishing optimized multistaining protocols.

We determined that ISH should be performed before IHC during multiple staining. When IHC was administered first, EBER-ISH was not stained, which could be attributed to the complete degradation of mRNA during IHC. Additional baking/deparaffinization was not needed for antigen retrieval during IHC, but all of the primary antibodies used in this study required HIER for antigen retrieval. If proteolytic-induced epitope retrieval (PIER) is required for restoring antigenicity, such as with the primary antibody for epidermal growth factor receptor,10 it may be necessary to determine PIER reaction time during IHC with multistaining because this protease would have already been applied in ISH. It was expected that staining quality might be worse due to tissue damage when PIER reaction time was the same in multi-ISH/IHC stain as in single IHC. Although a definite mechanism for HIER has yet to be established, it is presumed that the calcium ion of the HIER solution plays a role of opening or closing the cross linkage between proteins through a chelation reaction with a covalent ring-forming metal substance.11 In double IHC, temporarily opened protein cross-linking after HIER is restored to the state before HIER after a certain period of time, and only one HIER is required when the two primary antibodies simultaneously react. However, we needed additional HIER because the primary antibodies were applied in sequence in double IHC.

The OptiView kit and the UltraView kit for IHC are 3-step and 2-step multimer methods, respectively. Because the sensitivity of antigen detection is higher with the OptiView kit than the UltraView kit, the dilution ratio of some antibodies needed to be reduced when used with the UltraView kit. Thus, we obtained better staining with primary antibodies of higher sensitivity using the UltraView kit, while those with lower sensitivity were stained using the OptiView kit when double IHC was performed. In addition, the 3-step multimer method, which maintained good staining quality even when C1 treatment time was short, was first applied, and then the 2-step multimer method was applied while adjusting appropriate C1 treatment time.

The development of various coloring agents for 3-step multimers would provide more flexibility in protocol design and result.

Table 4. Multi-EBER-ISH and IHC staining using optimized protocols in EBV-associated malignancies using a Ventana BenchMark XT immunostainer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Procedure</th>
<th>EBER</th>
<th>EBER</th>
<th>EBER</th>
<th>EBER</th>
<th>EBER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CD20</td>
<td>CD56</td>
<td>CD3</td>
<td>CD15</td>
<td>CD3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First procedure, XT INFORM Probe</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IVIEW Blue v3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1. Deparaffinization</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. ISH Protease 2 (min)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. INFORM EBER</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Second procedure, XT OptiView DAB</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IHC v4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. CC1 (min)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Pre-primary peroxidase inhib</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Ab incubation time (min)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab dilution</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Third procedure, XT UltraView RED</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>v3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. CC1 (min)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. Ab incubation time (min)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ab dilution</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>1:25</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>1:100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9. Hematoxylin 2 (min)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10. Bluing (min)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EBER, Epstein-Barr virus–encoded RNA; ISH, in situ hybridization; IHC, immunohistochemistry; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; CC1, cell conditioning 1; S, selected; Ab, primary antibody.
in better quality multi-immunostaining.\textsuperscript{12}

ISH-protease and HIER time were found to be the most important parameters for successful multiple staining. The longer the treatment time of ISH-protease, the more severe the loss of antigen. Longer HIER led to worse tissue condition and poor quality hematoxylin counterstaining, making it difficult to identify tis-

Fig. 2. Application of the optimized multistaining protocol to various Epstein-Barr virus (EBV)-associated malignancies. (A, B) EBV-positive diffuse large B-cell lymphoma (Epstein-Barr virus-encoded RNA [EBER], purple; CD20, red; CD3, brown). (C, D) Extranodal natural killer/T-cell lymphoma, nasal type (EBER, purple; CD56, red; CD3, brown). (E, F) Classic Hodgkin lymphoma stroma (EBER, purple; CD15, red; CD3, brown). (Continued on the next page)
sue architecture and cell morphology. In the case of multiple ISH/IHC staining, the protease and HIER time proposed in this study could be used to achieve the same quality of staining as single ISH and/or IHC.

Because three staining procedures were sequentially performed for EBER-ISH and double IHC, the kits needed to be replaced at the end of each procedure, and the turnaround time increased. Nevertheless, double EBER-ISH/IHC and triple EBER-ISH/IHCs could be completed within the same day or take up to half a day. Because FFPE tissues and three independent kits were used for triple staining in each procedure, the staining procedures for each marker could be easily added. For example, if double or triple staining was required while reading an EBER-ISH stained slide, the coverslip of the slide could be removed and stained with other biomarkers such as CD20, CD30, and CD15.

In conclusion, EBER-ISH and double IHC could be easily used in pathologic practice by adjusting the treatment time of the reagents as well as the dilution ratio and reaction time of the primary antibodies, as suggested by the described optimized protocols for currently available automated immunostainers. If more coloring agents are commercialized in the future, more biomarker expression could be evaluated on one slide. In addition, evaluation of the type of cells expressing a particular biomarker and the relevance of multiple biomarkers would be more accurate, while minimizing tissue loss for further ancillary studies.
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CASE STUDY

Amoebic Encephalitis Caused by Balamuthia mandrillaris

Su Jung Kum, Hye Won Lee, Hye Ra Jung, Misun Choe, Sang Pyo Kim
Department of Pathology, Keimyung University School of Medicine, Daegu, Korea

We present the case of a 71-year-old man who was diagnosed with amoebic encephalitis caused by Balamuthia mandrillaris. He had rheumatic arthritis for 30 years and had undergone continuous treatment with immunosuppressants. First, he complained of partial spasm from the left thigh to the left upper limb. Magnetic resonance imaging revealed multifocal enhancing nodules in the cortical and subcortical area of both cerebral hemispheres, which were suggestive of brain metastases. However, the patient developed fever with stuporous mentality and an open biopsy was performed immediately. Microscopically, numerous amoebic trophozoites, measuring 20 to 25 µm in size, with nuclei containing one to four nucleoli and some scattered cysts having a double-layered wall were noted in the background of hemorrhagic necrosis. Based on the microscopic findings, amoebic encephalitis caused by Balamuthia mandrillaris was diagnosed. The patient died on the 10th day after being admitted at the hospital. The diagnosis of amoebic encephalitis in the early stage is difficult for clinicians. Moreover, most cases undergo rapid deterioration, resulting in fatal consequences. In this report, we present the first case of B. mandrillaris amoebic encephalitis with fatal progression in a Korean patient.
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CASE REPORT

A 71-year-old Korean man presented with partial spasm from the left thigh to the left upper limb for a day without any infectious symptoms at that time. He had had rheumatic arthritis for 30 years and had been continuously treated with immunosuppressants such as steroids, methotrexate, and nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs. Initial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the brain revealed several ring-enhancing nodules measuring up to 3.7 × 3.2 × 1.8 cm in size in both cerebral hemispheres, which were suggestive of brain metastases. However, the patient developed fever with stuporous mentality and an open biopsy was performed immediately. Microscopically, numerous amoebic trophozoites, measuring 20 to 25 µm in size, with nuclei containing one to four nucleoli and some scattered cysts having a double-layered wall were noted in the background of hemorrhagic necrosis. Based on the microscopic findings, amoebic encephalitis caused by Balamuthia mandrillaris was diagnosed. The patient died on the 10th day after being admitted at the hospital. The diagnosis of amoebic encephalitis in the early stage is difficult for clinicians. Moreover, most cases undergo rapid deterioration, resulting in fatal consequences. In this report, we present the first case of B. mandrillaris amoebic encephalitis with fatal progression in a Korean patient.

Key Words: Amoebic encephalitis; Balamuthia mandrillaris; Histopathologic features
Immediately. During the operation, the frozen sections with squash preparation showed acute inflammation with hemorrhagic necrosis, and no definitive diagnosis could be made. After the operation, the permanent sections showed diffuse or perivascular infiltration of amoebic trophozoites in the background of hemorrhage and necrosis (Fig. 2A–C). The trophozoites were ovoid or round and 20–25 µm in size. They each had 1–2 nuclei containing 1–4 nucleoli (Fig. 2D–F). Additionally, some scattered cysts having inner rigid and outer wavy double-layered walls were observed (Fig. 3A–E). These findings were consistent with the

Fig. 1. (A) Initial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) showing multiple ring-enhancing nodules in the cortical and subcortical areas of both cerebral hemispheres. (B) Second MRI showing an increased number and size of the nodules compared to the initial MRI.

Fig. 2. Hemorrhagic necrosis (A) is associated with diffuse or perivascular infiltration of amoebic trophozoites (B, C). (C) Elastic stain highlights the trophozoites. Ovoid to round trophozoites, measuring 20 to 25 µm in size, with 1–2 nuclei containing 1–4 nucleoli are noted (D–F).
diagnosis of *B. mandrillaris* amoebic encephalitis. Even though the patient was treated with the combination of pentamidine and paromomycin, he died on the 10th day after being admitted to the hospital.

**Ethics statement**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Keimyung University Dongsan Medical Center, with waiver of informed consent (IRB No. 2019-02-023).

**DISCUSSION**

*Balamuthia mandrillaris*, *Acanthamoeba* species, and *Naegleria fowleri* are known to be free-living amoebae that can cause amoebic encephalitis. *B. mandrillaris* and *Acanthamoeba* species mainly cause granulomatous amoebic encephalitis, which shows subacute to chronic progression, while *N. fowleri* causes primary amoebic meningoencephalitis, which shows a highly acute progression.6 Unfortunately, all of these amoebae do not have characteristic clinical features; thus, early diagnosis is very difficult for clinicians and the condition is associated with a high mortality rate of over 90%.3

*B. mandrillaris* amoebic encephalitis is also a fatal condition, and the mortality rate is known to be 98%.3 It affects not only immunocompromised but also immunocompetent individuals, especially the young and the old.2 Despite its high mortality rate, the pathophysiology and pathogenesis of *B. mandrillaris* amoebic encephalitis are not clearly understood. However, it is assumed that the main routes of the infections are through the nasal mucosa or hematogenous spread through the respiratory and cutaneous pathways in a manner similar to other amoebic species. The CSF study did not reveal any organism, but showed a normal or slightly low glucose level and an elevated protein level, which are similar to findings with the presence of *Acanthamoeba* species.6

Like *Acanthamoeba* species, *B. mandrillaris* also have two life cycle stages: trophozoite and cyst. Histopathologically, trophozoites have a round to ovoid shape and range from 12 to 60 µm in size. They usually only have a single nucleus, but, occasionally, more than one nucleus can be noticed. Each nucleus contains one
to three nucleoli. When they become cysts, they have a triple-layered wall, but, under a light microscope, they are observed to have a double-layered wall: a round and rigid inner wall and wavy outer wall, ranging from 15–30 µm in size.7,8 The cysts and trophozoites are prominent in the vascular or perivascular area, in the background of acute to granulomatous inflammation with angiitis, hemorrhage, and necrosis.

In contrast, Acanthamoeba species have vegetative trophozoites with cysts in the tissue. Trophozoites are 15–50 µm in size and contain one vesicular nucleus with one large, centrally placed nucleolus. Cysts range from 15–25 µm in size and have a double-layered wall. The outer wall is wrinkled with folds and ripples, while the inner wall varies in shape. On the other hand, N. fowleri have only trophozoites without cysts in the brain tissue. Trophozoites are 10–25 µm in size and have one nucleus with one prominent nucleolus in the middle, and are usually observed around vessels, in the background of acute inflammation associated with hemorrhage and necrosis.8

For the diagnosis of amoebic encephalitis, it is essential to identify organisms in the tissue or CSF.9 Differential diagnosis of the three amoebae is possible using each of their characteristic histopathologic findings. In the present case, numerous trophozoites and scattered cysts were found in the vessel wall and perivascular area and were seen in association with acute inflammation and diffuse hemorrhagic necrosis. The trophozoites were in round to ovoid shape, having 1–2 nuclei, and each of them contained 1–4 nucleoli. The cysts were round to ovoid shape, had a double-layered wall, and measured 15–20 µm in size. While the inner layer was round and rigid, the outer layer was wavy, which is consistent with the features of B. mandrillaris. The characteristic histopathologic features of the three amoebae are listed in Table 1.

In the CSF study, the glucose level was in the normal range of 60 mg/dL and the protein level was elevated at 331.8 mg/dL, which is consistent with any of the amoebic encephalitis types. Unfortunately, a culture or pathologic smear of CSF was not performed. The CRP level in the blood was increased to 7.89 mg/dL, and the white blood cell count was normal. Additionally, an immunofluorescence study or polymerase chain reaction can be used to identify the specific organism. However, in the present case, using only the amoeba-specific histopathologic features was sufficient to identify B. mandrillaris, and this emphasizes the importance of examining the histopathological features of the amoebae.
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### Table 1. Differential diagnosis of amoebic encephalitis by histopathologic features

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Present case</th>
<th>Balamuthia mandrillaris</th>
<th>Acanthamoeba spp.</th>
<th>Naegleria fowleri</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Trophozoite</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (µm)</td>
<td>20–25</td>
<td>12–60</td>
<td>15–60</td>
<td>10–25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nuclei</td>
<td>1–2</td>
<td>1–3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleoli</td>
<td>1–4</td>
<td>≥ 1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cyst</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size (µm)</td>
<td>15–20</td>
<td>15–30</td>
<td>15–25</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double layer wall</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outer</td>
<td>Wavy</td>
<td>Wavy</td>
<td>Wrinkled</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inner</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>Round and rigid</td>
<td>Various shape</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Background</td>
<td>Acute inflammation</td>
<td>Acute to granulomatous inflammation (GAE)</td>
<td>Granulomatous inflammation (GAE)</td>
<td>Acute inflammation (PAM)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSF study</td>
<td>Not done</td>
<td>Not identified</td>
<td>Usually absent (if present, in trophozoite form)</td>
<td>Present, in flagellate form</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Others</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Keratitis</td>
<td>Skin infection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

GAE, granulomatous amoebic encephalitis; PAM, primary amoebic meningoencephalitis; CSF, cerebrospinal fluid.
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The incidence of primary colorectal lymphoma is very rare, accounting for 0.2%–0.6% of colorectal malignancies. Primary colorectal lymphomas manifest in a variety of ways, ranging from solitary fungating masses to multiple polyps. In 1968, Friedman et al. reported four cases of "lymphomatous colitis," a rare form of primary colorectal lymphoma mimicking ulcerative colitis (UC). Since then, a small number of patients with diffuse-type colorectal lymphoma have been reported, in whom a clinical and/or histological diagnosis of colitis, including inflammatory bowel disease (IBD), was made initially but were subsequently discovered to have diffuse lymphoma involvement of the colon within a short period of time. IBD and immunosuppression have been reported as risk factors for primary colorectal lymphoma. Most IBD-related lymphomas develop late in the course of an extensive longstanding disease. In that respect, these cases differ from most reports of primary colorectal lymphoma as a complication of longstanding IBD. We experienced a case of UC-like primary colorectal lymphoma in a 31-year-old woman who presented with profuse hematochezia, was misdiagnosed with UC, and died of a diffuse lymphoma involving the entire colon 12 months after hematochezia first developed.
istry, the tumor cells were diffusely positive for CD20, bcl2, and p53, and negative for CD3, CD5, CD10, and cyclin D1. The Ki-67 labeling index was about 60%. The overall histology and immunophenotype supported a diagnosis of diffuse large B-cell lymphoma. There was no detectable evidence of extraintestinal lymphadenopathy or lymphomatous involvement elsewhere. Bone marrow evaluation was also negative for lymphoma. As no extraintestinal disease was found, we considered it as a primary colorectal lymphoma. The patient died while receiving the second cycle of R-CHOP chemotherapy.

We retrospectively reviewed the colonoscopic biopsy specimens that were initially diagnosed with UC. At low magnification view, the background mucosa displayed crypt architectural distortion and dense inflammatory cell infiltration, resembling chronic

---

**Fig. 1.** (A) Colonoscopy reveals diffuse hyperemic inflamed mucosa with total loss of normal vascularity and surface ulceration from the rectum to the cecum. (B, C) Representative microphotographs of diffuse lymphoma involvement on the biopsy specimens. (B) The mucosa and submucosa are diffusely involved by densely packed lymphocytes with the background remnants of colonic crypts. Monotonous large-sized lymphocytes have vesicular chromatin and often membrane-bound nucleoli, resembling centroblasts (C). (D–F) Retrospective examination of initial colonoscopic biopsy. (D) The biopsied colonic mucosa displays crypt architectural distortion and dense inflammatory cell infiltration, resembling chronic colitis. Atypical lymphoid cells are aggregated in the bottom right corner (below the yellow dotted line), which show not only strong expression on CD20 immunostaining (E) but also high Ki-67 labeling index (F).
colitis. However, we found some lymphoma cells, which were admixed with other inflammatory cells or showed focal aggregation in the basal portion of the mucosa (Fig. 1D). Those lymphoma cells were more distinguishable on immunostaining for CD20 and Ki-67 (Fig. 1E, F).

**Ethics statement**

This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Inje University Ilsan Paik Hospital with a waiver of informed consent (IRB No. ISPAIK 2019-05-005) and performed in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki.

**DISCUSSION**

Twenty cases (including the present one) of “colitis-like” diffuse-type colorectal lymphomas were reported in the English literature. We excluded cases of colorectal lymphoma developed in patients with a longstanding IBD or prior established diagnosis of extracolonic lymphoma. The clinical and pathological findings of these patients are summarized in Table 1. There were 10 men, 8 women, and two children. Their mean age at presentation was 53.2 years (range, 6 to 82 years). The main symptoms were diarrhea/hematochezia (90%), loss of weight (50%), abdominal pain (25%), and fever (10%). Endoscopic findings were consistent with UC (55%), Crohn disease (20%), diffuse colitis (20%), and multiple ulcers (5%); no localized mass-like lesion was present. All cases were non-Hodgkin’s lymphoma: eight were B-cell type (four mantle cell lymphomas, two mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue lymphomas, one diffuse large B-cell lymphoma, and one follicular lymphoma), seven were T-cell type, one was natural killer cell lymphoma, and four other unclassified lympho-reticular malignancies (one malignant lymphoma, one reticulum cell sarcoma, one lymphosarcoma, and one lymphocytic lymphoma). Of note, there were nine patients in whom colitis was histologically confirmed with multiple biopsy or colectomy but were subsequently found to have lymphoma involvement in a retrospective review of previous slides. Taken together, diffuse type primary colorectal lymphoma is a very rare disease and is easily misdiagnosed, particularly in reliance on endoscopic biopsy examination alone. The possibility of a hidden lymphomatous involvement would have to be considered in patients with medically refractory and rapidly progressive colitis.

Lymphoma involvement of the gastrointestinal (GI) tract may occur either as an isolated primary neoplasm or as a manifestation of systemic generalized lymphoma. To distinguish between primary and secondary GI lymphoma, Dawson’s criteria is generally

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Sex/ Age (yr)</th>
<th>Pathologic diagnosis</th>
<th>Endoscopic findings</th>
<th>Revision of initial diagnosis</th>
<th>Superficial LAP at presentation</th>
<th>Extracolonic involvement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Friedman et al.</td>
<td>M/37</td>
<td>Reticulum cell sarcoma</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Liver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Friedman et al.</td>
<td>M/73</td>
<td>Malignant lymphoma</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>Yes (colectomy)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Friedman et al.</td>
<td>M/73</td>
<td>Lymphosarcoma</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>Yes (Bx)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1980</td>
<td>Weir et al.</td>
<td>F/67</td>
<td>Lymphocytic lymphoma</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Yes (Bx)</td>
<td>Yes, generalized</td>
<td>BM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992</td>
<td>McCullough et al.</td>
<td>M/44</td>
<td>Mantle cell lymphoma</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>Yes (Bx)</td>
<td>Yes, cervical axillary</td>
<td>Pancreas</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1995</td>
<td>Lenzen et al.</td>
<td>F/53</td>
<td>MALT lymphoma</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Upper GI tract, BM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Robert et al.</td>
<td>F/71</td>
<td>Mantle cell lymphoma</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>Yes (colectomy)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1996</td>
<td>Hirakawa et al.</td>
<td>M/47</td>
<td>T-cell lymphoma</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Upper GI tract</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997</td>
<td>Son et al.</td>
<td>F/40</td>
<td>Peripheral T-cell lymphoma</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Yes (Bx)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003</td>
<td>Isomoto et al.</td>
<td>M/47</td>
<td>Adult T-cell leukemia/lymphoma</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, generalized</td>
<td>Stomach, skin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Payne et al.</td>
<td>F/76</td>
<td>High-grade T-cell lymphoma</td>
<td>Colitis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, generalized</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Tamura et al.</td>
<td>M/61</td>
<td>Mantle cell lymphoma</td>
<td>Colitis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Berkhemmer et al.</td>
<td>M/52</td>
<td>MALT lymphoma</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>Yes (Bx)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>Koksal et al.</td>
<td>M/73</td>
<td>Mantle cell lymphoma</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Stomach</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Zaeheen et al.</td>
<td>M/74</td>
<td>EBV-negative NK cell lymphoma</td>
<td>Colitis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>BM, pleural effusion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>Wu et al.</td>
<td>M/56</td>
<td>T-cell lymphoma</td>
<td>Ulcers</td>
<td>Yes (Bx)</td>
<td>Yes, generalized</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Cheung et al.</td>
<td>NA/12</td>
<td>EBV-positive T-cell lymphoma</td>
<td>Colitis</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Hepatosplenomegaly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Cheung et al.</td>
<td>NA/6</td>
<td>EBV-positive T-cell lymphoma</td>
<td>CD</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>Zenda et al.</td>
<td>M/59</td>
<td>Follicular lymphoma</td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes, cervical inguinal</td>
<td>Spleen, BM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>UC</td>
<td>Yes (Bx)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LAP, lymphadenopathy; M, male; UC, ulcerative colitis; F, female; Bx, biopsy; CD, Crohn’s disease; BM, bone marrow; MALT, mucosa-associated lymphoid tissue; GI, gastrointestinal; EBV, Epstein-Barr virus; NA, not available.
applied: (1) no palpable superficial lymphadenopathy at initial presentation, (2) a normal chest X-ray with no mediastinal lymphadenopathy, (3) no evidence of leukemia, (4) a predominant mass in the bowel with only local lymphadenopathy, and (5) no hepatosplenomegaly. When the diagnostic criteria of primary colonic lymphoma are strictly applied, only seven of 20 cases of “colitis-like” colorectal lymphoma are eligible for diffuse-type primary colorectal lymphoma: 13 cases were excluded due to synchronous other organ involvement at initial presentation, such as superficial or generalized lymphadenopathy (six cases), upper GI involvement (five cases), bone marrow involvement (four cases), or hepatosplenomegaly (three cases), etc. Consequently, it is a reflection that “colitis-like” diffuse involvement of colorectal lymphoma seems to be more often secondary. Accurate discrimination between primary and secondary colorectal lymphoma is important for proper staging and management. In conclusion, since primary colorectal lymphoma can rarely manifest as “colitis-like” diffuse colonic involvement, awareness of this rare presentation is important to ensure proper diagnosis and treatment.
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In 2013, Bishop et al. introduced a newly-described human papillomavirus (HPV)–related sinonasal carcinoma, referred to as “HPV-related carcinoma with adenoid cystic carcinoma-like features,” and it is provisionally included in the 2017 World Health Organization (WHO) classification of head and neck tumors. In 2017, Bishop et al. suggested a change in the name to ‘HPV-related multiphenotypic sinonasal carcinoma’ to encompass its broader histologic features. We report a case of recurrent ‘HPV-related multiphenotypic sinonasal carcinoma’ with the second-longest follow-up time and an unusual HPV genotype with abrupt keratinization.

CASE REPORT

A 66-year-old man underwent surgical resection for a polypoid lesion in his right nasal cavity, and he was diagnosed with adenoid cystic carcinoma, solid variant. He received no additional postoperative treatment, and he did not have any routine follow-up visits for 16 years until he presented to the Otorhinolaryngology Department of our institution due to 12 months of right nasal obstruction. A new fungating mass in the right nasal cavity was found, and a piecemeal removal was performed.

Microscopically, the tumor showed highly cellular proliferation of basaloid cells with a predominantly lobular growth pattern and comedonecrosis (Fig. 1A). There were several foci of cribriform growth pattern aligned around cylindromatous micrscopic spaces filled with mucopolysaccharide-like basophilic material, resembling adenoid cystic carcinoma (Fig. 1B, C). The tumor cells showed relatively large, uniform, round nuclei with prominent nucleoli and scant granular cytoplasm. Frequent squamous differentiation with abrupt keratinization (Fig. 1D) and varying degrees of dysplasia of the overlying surface squamous epithelium were noted (Fig. 1E). Neither lymphovascular nor perineural invasion was present. On immunohistochemical staining, the tumor cells were diffusely positive for cytokeratin and p63, but they were negative for CD117 immunostain in both the solid and cribriform areas. As a surrogate marker for the presence of HPV, p16 immunostain showed strong and diffuse reactivity in nearly the entire tumor, except the dysplastic surface squamous epithelium (Fig. 1F). We further confirmed the presence of HPV genotype 33 and 51 by quantitative HPV-specific polymerase chain reaction (Anyplex II HPV28 Detection, Seegene Inc., Seoul, Korea).

The combination of the microscopic findings, the immunoprofile, and the HPV testing results allowed us to reclassify the formerly diagnosed adenoid cystic carcinoma to the newly-emerging entity, HPV-related multiphenotypic sinonasal carcinoma.

Ethics statement

Study approval was obtained from the Institutional Review Board of Korea University Anam Hospital (IRB No. 2019AN 0012), and informed consent was waived.

DISCUSSION

Until now, 56 cases of HPV-related carcinoma with adenoid cystic carcinoma-like features have been reported. The tumor is defined as a sinonasal carcinoma with the morphologic features of a salivary gland tumor including admixed ductal and myoepithelial elements, surface squamous epithelial dysplasia,
association with high-risk HPV (particularly type 33), and no MYB gene fusion. A new terminology, HPV-related multiphenotypic sinonasal carcinoma, has been recently suggested due to the tumor’s diverse morphology, including anaplastic giant cells, clear cells, sarcomatoid cells, chondroid structure, osseous differentiation, and hemangiopericytoma-like blood vessels.³

Although this tumor was classified under the non-keratinizing squamous cell carcinoma category in the 2017 WHO classification of head and neck tumors, only a few cases showed squamous differentiation and abrupt keratinization.²⁵⁷ A total

Fig. 1. Microscopic findings of an human papillomavirus–related multiphenotypic sinonasal carcinoma. (A) Basaloid cells with predominant solid, lobular pattern and comedonecrosis. (B, C) A few foci of cribriform architecture resembling adencoid cystic carcinoma. (D) Frequent squamous differentiation with abrupt keratinization. (E) Varying degree of surface epithelial dysplasia. (F) Diffuse, strong positive p16 immunostain sparing the dysplastic surface epithelium.
of nine cases demonstrated scattered foci of squamous differentiation,\(^3\) with two cases showing abrupt keratinization,\(^5\) and our case is another with abrupt keratinization. Given these various morphological features, keratinization alone cannot be a differential point from conventional squamous cell carcinoma.

This tumor was suggested to have an indolent behavior with a better prognosis than other carcinomas.\(^3,6\) While the reported mean follow-up time is 42 months, frequent local recurrence (13 patients), rare distant metastasis (2 patients), and no tumor-related death were noted.\(^1,3-6\) One former report introduced a recurrent case after a 30-year disease-free interval,\(^6\) and our case has the second-longest follow-up of any published reports, supporting the tumor’s characterization of being indolent yet having potential for late recurrence. Due to the small number of reported cases and limited clinical follow-up, more clinical data are needed to better understand the tumor prognosis and treatment options.

Another interesting point of our case is that, to our knowledge, this is the first case of HPV-related multiphenotypic sinonasal carcinoma that showed the high-risk HPV genotype 51. HPV 51 is included in the WHO-categorized cancer-causing HPV types and is a high-risk carcinogen in head and neck cancer.\(^9\) However, its oncogenic implication or the tumor characteristics associated with HPV 51 infection have not been determined. One study reported HPV 51 to be one of the predominant genotypes in squamous cell carcinoma of the oral cavity and larynx,\(^10\) although none of the HPV-related multiphenotypic sinonasal carcinomas have shown HPV 51 thus far.

To better understand the clinicopathologic features of this rare disease, more data on such rare cases need to be collected. We report a case of HPV-related multiphenotypic sinonasal carcinoma with abrupt keratinization and a rare HPV type that recurred after a long indolent period of 16 years.
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Papillary squamotransitional cell carcinoma (PSTCC) of the uterine cervix is an uncommon variant of cervical squamous cell carcinoma (SCC). Here we describe the SurePath liquid-based cytology (LBC) findings of a case of PSTCC which showed a combination of cytological features of low-grade papillary urothelial carcinoma (LGUTC) and SCC.

CASE REPORT

A 77-year-old previously healthy woman presented with bloody vaginal discharge at the outpatient clinic. A colposcopy revealed a 2.5 cm-sized papillary mass (Fig. 1), and a punch biopsy was performed. After the possibility of a PSTCC or papillary SCC was suggested on the biopsy, she underwent a total abdominal hysterectomy with bilateral salpingo-oophorectomy. Two years later, she returned to the clinic complaining of vaginal discharge. A 6-mm-sized enhancing nodular lesion was noted at the right vaginal stump on pelvic computed tomography, and a cervicovaginal smear and punch biopsy was taken to confirm recurrence. However, further treatment of the vaginal lesion was not performed, as imaging incidentally revealed a pancreatic tail neoplasm with peritoneal seeding. The patient was subsequently referred to hospice care.

At low-power magnification, the LBC preparation was highly cellular, showing a few small hyperchromatic crowded groups (HCGs) in a background of abundant scattered cells (Fig. 2A). At higher magnification, the HCGs were 3-dimensional irregularly-shaped papillary fragments containing fibrovascular cores. The atypical cells lining the papillary clusters were relatively uniformly distributed with mild nuclear overlapping (Fig. 2B). The nuclei were smaller than those of parabasal cells, and were round-to-oval with smooth nuclear membranes. Nucleoli were small and almost inconspicuous. Mitotic figures were only occasionally seen. Similarly-looking atypical cells were individually scattered in the background (Fig. 2B, C). Some cells were spindled with long and tapered cytoplasmic processes (Fig. 2C). Altogether, most of the neoplastic cells demonstrated the cytological features of LGUTC. There were also a few interspersed cells with increased nuclear-to-cytoplasmic ratio, hyperchromasia and nuclear membrane irregularity, suggestive of high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion (HSIL) (Fig. 2D). A small cluster of dyskeratotic cells was seen (Fig. 2E, F). Tumor diathesis was not identified.

The biopsied tissue demonstrated papillary excrescences containing fibrovascular cores (Fig. 3A). The lining epithelium showed hybrid features of LGUTC and SCC (Fig. 3B, C). The LGUTC-like component was seen towards the basal layers and showed mild cytological atypia. Towards the surface of the papillary structures, there was evidence of keratinization and high-grade cytologic atypia. Koilocytosis was focally seen (Fig. 3D). Although stromal invasion was not observed in the biopsied tissue, the subsequent hysterectomy specimen demonstrated residual tumor with stromal invasion and vascular invasion in the parametrial vessels. Immunohistochemistry revealed diffuse nuclear p63 expression, and the...
tumor cells were cytokeratin 7 (CK7)-positive and CK20-negative. A human papillomavirus (HPV) test (LG AdvanSure GenoBlot Assay, LG Life Sciences, Seoul, Korea) detected “other type” HPV. The histologic findings of the recurrent tumor were similar to that of the initial tumor.

Ethics statement
This case study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Seoul National University Bundang Hospital (IRB approval number B-1710-427-702) and informed consent was waived.

DISCUSSION

PSTCC was first reported in 1986 by Randall et al. as a variant of SCC showing varying degrees of urothelial-like differentiation. Due to the predominantly exophytic nature of these tumors, invasion may not be detected on small biopsies or cervicovaginal cytology. However, despite the surface papillary growth pattern, PSTCCs have been frequently associated with deep invasion, local recurrence and distant metastasis.1-3

While the clinicopathological features of PSTCC have been discussed in several case reports, the cytological findings of PSTCC have only been described in two reports: one study described the

![Fig. 2. Liquid-based cytology findings. (A) Low-power magnification shows some scattered hyperchromatic crowded groups and abundant individually scattered cells. (B) A papillary cluster (center) is lined by small neoplastic cells with round-to-oval nuclei and minimal nuclear atypia. (C) The scattered neoplastic cells demonstrate similar features, with eccentrically located, round-to-oval nuclei. Long cytoplasmic processes are seen in some neoplastic cells (“cercariform” cells) at the edge of papillary fragments (C, inset). A mitotic figure is seen (arrow). (D) A high-grade squamous intraepithelial lesion cell with coarse chromatin and irregular nuclear membrane (center) is seen among the scattered low-grade urothelial carcinoma-like cells. (E, F) Dyskeratotic cells and focal kolocytotic atypia are occasionally seen.](https://jpatholtm.org/issue/2019/06/05)
LBC features of six cases,\(^4\) and the other one is a single case report describing the conventional smear features.\(^3\) The LBC features of PSTCC in this case could be summarized as follows: (1) a moderate-to-high cellularity, (2) HCGs characterized by 3-dimensional papillary fragments with branching architecture, (3) urothelial-like features, and (4) loosely dispersed hyperchromatic parabasal-like cells in the background.\(^4,5\)

The differential diagnosis of PSTCC includes a spectrum of lesions ranging from reactive to neoplastic, including papillary immature metaplasia and HSIL with papillary configuration.\(^6,7\)

Immature metaplastic and repair cells are immature parabasal-like cells with cytoplasmic projections, 2-dimensional sheets and fine chromatin, while PSTCCs frequently demonstrate 3-dimensional HCG with papillary architecture and hyperchromatic nuclei. Although papillary architecture may be seen in HSILs, the papillary fragments of PSTCC have been described to show more complex arborizing patterns.\(^1\) In addition, the presence of horizontally aligned cells on the surface of papillary structures—similar to that seen in LGPUC in urine cytology—has been shown to be a characteristic cytological feature of PSTCC.\(^4,8\)

Despite the urothelial-like features, PSTCCs have been suggested to be metaplastic variants of SCC. The CK7+/CK20− profile of PSTCC (including this case) is identical to that of müllerian epithelium.\(^5\) Moreover, similarly to SCC, HPV and allelic losses at 3p have been implicated in the pathogenesis of PSTCCs, while chromosome 9 alterations (common in bladder cancer) were not detected.\(^3,9,10\)

---

**Fig. 3.** Histological findings. (A) Low-power view demonstrates papillary structures with fibrovascular cores. (B) The papillae are mostly lined by urothelial-like cells. (C, D) Focal areas of keratinization (C) and koilocytic atypia (D) are seen in the surfaces of the papillae.

---
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RETRACTION: eNOS Gene Polymorphisms in Perinatal Hypoxic-Ischemic Encephalopathy
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This article has been retracted at the request of the Editors. Journal of Pathology and Translational Medicine, formerly known as The Korean Journal of Pathology (1967–2014), requires that Institutional Review Board (IRB) approval is received for all studies on human subjects and that authors follow guidelines for research and publication ethics.

Concerns were raised about unjustified authorship and false statements regarding IRB approval. After evaluating the concerns carefully, we asked the corresponding author to provide an explanation for the concerns. The corresponding author notified the Journal that IRB approval from the author’s institution was not obtained for the human subjects research described in the article. In addition, the corresponding author stated that the five co-authors (MC, KSH, DCC, IYC, and MJK) were attributed as authors without having made intellectual contributions to this study, and therefore agreed with changing the five persons’ co-authorship to contributorship. In Korea, unjustified authorship is construed as a type of research misconduct (Ministry of Science and Technology, directive No. 236, enacted 2007.2.8.).

As a consequence, the Editors of Journal of Pathology and Translational Medicine retract this article. The corresponding author agrees to the retraction and apologizes to the Korean Society of Pathologists for any inconvenience caused by the publication and retraction of this article.
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