Salivary gland neoplasms are uncommon and account for only 3%–10% of head and neck tumors [1]. Tumors of the salivary gland are classified into distinct subgroups by their characteristic histological features, immunoprofiles, and genetic alterations, and the histological subgroups have unique clinical characteristics. In the current World Health Organization (WHO) classification of head and neck tumors, salivary gland tumors are classified into 21 malignant tumors, 11 benign tumors, and one tumor with uncertain malignant potential. However, tumors with characteristic histological types that do not meet the current diagnostic criteria are still being reported. Moreover, salivary gland neoplasms are often diagnostically challenging because of the morphologic overlap in some of the tumors and the presence of variants and mimickers. As immunostaining is usually not helpful in distinguishing peculiar cases, molecular analysis is necessary for accurate tumor classification.

We recently encountered two cases of minor salivary gland neoplasms with unique morphology, which do not meet the diagnostic criteria for a specific entity and are unclassifiable in the current WHO classification of head and neck tumors. The tumors were comprised of only epithelial components with trabecular arrangement and they formed focal mucin-producing glandular structures, and fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) analysis showed concurrent alteration of MAML2 and EWSR1 gene. This genetic change is exceedingly rare in head and neck tumors and only one case report has been published regarding dual gene rearrangement of MAML2 and EWSR1 [2].

Histological features of these tumors have not been reported previously, and their biological behaviors are unknown. Herein, we describe detailed clinicopathological and molecular characteristics of two minor salivary gland adenocarcinoma cases with MAML2 and EWSR1 alterations. We anticipate this report will extend the knowledge on this rare, but distinct tumor of the minor salivary gland.
CASE REPORT

Case 1

A 48-year-old woman (patient 1) who had a history of hyperthyroidism and rheumatoid arthritis was referred to our hospital for examination of a mass in the base of her tongue, which was found accidentally during cervical magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) for neck pain evaluation. Clinically, the mass was palpable at the right sublingual gland site, but the patient had no symptoms.

MRI revealed a solid and cystic lesion measuring 3 cm in the right tongue base that seemed to have originated from the right sublingual gland. Positron emission tomography revealed 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose uptake of the mass and mild hypermetabolic lymph nodes in the right level 1b area, which were suspicious of metastasis. Distant metastasis to other organs was not identified. The patient subsequently underwent sublingual mass excision. She developed no local or distant recurrence of the disease 20 months after the surgery.

Case 2

Patient 2 was sent to us for consultation. This 63-year-old otherwise healthy woman was admitted for chronic tonsillitis with pain.

Computed tomography revealed a submucosal mass measuring 2.1 cm in the right tongue base with focal cystic and necrotic areas. The bilateral neck lymph nodes were not significantly enlarged. Excisional biopsy was performed on the tongue base mass. The patient was referred to our hospital for further treatment. There was no evidence of recurrence or metastasis during the 14-month follow-up.

Pathological findings

We examined surgically resected specimens obtained from the right tongue base mass from each patient. The gross examination of the resected tumors showed relatively well-circumscribed, white tan-colored, solid masses with focal cystic changes and hemorrhage. Microscopically, the tumors were highly vascularized, and tumor cells were arranged in variably sized nests and cords that were separated by delicate fibrous septa containing thin blood vessels, which formed a trabecular-like pattern (Figs. 1A, 2A). Cytologically, the tumor cells were round to ovoid or sometimes spindle shaped and tended to have long and clear peripheral nuclear palisading. There was no evidence of intraluminal or cytoplasmic mucin in the tumor cells. The Ki-67 labeling index was < 5% (Figs. 1H, 2D).

The tumor cells showed diffuse positivity for cytokeratin (AE1/AE3) (Figs. 1E, 2C). The pseudorosette-like components identified in patient 1 were weakly positive for cytokeratin. They also displayed CD99 immunoreactivity, which highlighted thick hyalinized blood vessels (Fig. 1F). Immunohistochemical staining for chromogranin, CD56, smooth muscle actin (SMA), S-100, c-KIT, and androgen receptor (AR) were negative in tumor cells. The lack of p63 staining indicated an absence of myoepithelial differentiation in this tumor (Fig. 1G). CD34 staining showed a split of the red and green signals by ≥ 2 signal diameters, when a green signal deletion was identified. Both cases had alteration (partial deletion or unbalanced translocation) of EWSR1 and translocation of EWSR1 (Fig. 3A, B). The other case showed translocations of MAML2 and EWSR1 (Fig. 3C, D).

Targeted next-generation sequencing (NGS) using Cancer-SCAN NGS panel was performed only for case 1 because of the lack of available tissue in case 2. Deep targeted sequencing detected 19 single nucleotide variants (SNV) including TOP1, PM12,
Fig. 1. Histopathological findings and immunostaining results for case 1. (A) Low-power image shows cords and nests of tumor cells separated by fibrous septa. The tumor cells appear round to polygonal and contain bland-looking nuclei with fine chromatin and abundant eosinophilic granular cytoplasm. Mucinous cells are scattered throughout the tumor. (B) In some areas, tumor cell nuclei show peripheral palisading around blood vessels, which give a perivascular pseudorosette-like appearance. (C) Focally, tumor cells show glandular differentiation and intraluminal mucin secretion. (D) Endolymphatic tumor emboli are found in the peritumoral fibrous capsule. (E) Cytokeratin (AE1/AE3) staining is positive in both the trabecular and glandular components (left side), but focal staining is observed in the rosettid area (right side). (F) Tumor cells around the hyalinized vasculatures (arrows) with pseudorosette-like arrangement exhibit positive CD99 immunoreactivity. (G) Immunohistochemical staining for p63 reveals the lack of myoepithelial differentiation of this tumor. (H) The Ki-67 labeling index in tumor cells is very low (<1%).
NPM1, NF1, MAP2K4, HSP90AA1, and GNAQ. Seventeen variants were nonsynonymous SNVs, and two were stop-gain SNV and non-frameshift deletion. Despite the high tumor purity (> 80%), all of the alterations had very low variant allele frequency (< 5.0%), and these variants were regarded as subclonal changes with genetic heterogeneity of tumor cells or possible sequencing errors.

**DISCUSSION**

Classification of salivary gland tumors is based mainly on histomorphology, lineage, and biological features [1]. Although no single antibody is specific for a tumor entity, the combination of immunohistochemical markers is helpful in the differential diagnosis of salivary gland neoplasms. With advances in the molecular diagnostics, specific genetic alterations in salivary gland tumors have been identified, and the classification is being further refined [3].

The two cases of salivary gland tumors reported here displayed unique histological changes that have not been described previously. The tumors displayed a corded and nested growth pattern with focal glandular differentiation and prominent vascularization. They had bland, round nuclei with inconspicuous nucleoli, and eosinophilic or clear cytoplasm. One of the two cases displayed pseudorosette-like arrangements around thickened blood vessels. Immunohistochemical staining for cytokeratin, SMA, S-100, c-KIT, p63, AR, and neuroendocrine markers revealed epithelial differentiation of the tumor cells, which were devoid of a myoepithelial component. Because this histological feature does not fit into any known type of salivary gland neoplasm, these tumors were initially diagnosed as adenocarcinoma, not otherwise specified.

Characteristic chromosomal rearrangements are found in many
salivary gland neoplasms. For example, CRTC1–MAML2 fusion in mucoepidermoid carcinoma (MEC), ETV6–NTRK3 fusion in secretory carcinoma, MYB–NFIB fusion in adenoid cystic carcinoma, and EWSR1–ATF1 fusion in clear cell carcinoma (CCC) are well-known translocations and they are diagnostic for each tumor [4]. However, the presence of dual gene rearrangement in tumors of the salivary gland is extremely rare. Currently, there has been only one case report of intraosseous maxillary tumor harboring MAML2 and EWSR1 rearrangement [2]. The tumor consisted of sheets and nests of clear tumor cells with intervening hyalinized and desmoplastic septa. Epidermoid cells and mucinous cells were scattered throughout the tumor. FISH analysis showed rearrangement of both MAML2 and EWSR1 genes. Based on histomorphology and the cytogenetic findings, Hamza et al. [2] presumed this tumor as a hybrid of clear cell variant of MEC and clear cell odontogenic carcinoma. Similarly, in our case, positive results of MAML2 and EWSR1 analysis suggest the possibility of MEC or CCC. Interestingly, tumor cells showing one fused signal and a single red signal of MAML2 probe were frequently observed in one case, which could represent partial deletion or unbalanced translocation of the MAML2 gene. This unusual FISH pattern has also been previously reported in high-grade MEC [5].

MEC is the most common malignant salivary gland tumor. They are composed of varying proportions of squamoid, mucin-producing, and intermediate cells forming cystic and solid patterns. They are classified as low, intermediate, and high-grade, and the grading criteria include the proportion of mucous-cells, tumor invasiveness, anaplasia, mitotic rates, tumor necrosis, perineural or lymphovascular invasion, and the proportion of cystic component. Low-grade MECs are usually well circumscribed,
mucous cell-rich, and have a prominent cystic component [6-8]. Most MECs are characterized by specific gene fusions involving MAML2, with a high tendency in low to intermediate grade tumors [9,10]. In our case, the tumor cells are mainly arranged in cords and nests exhibiting trabecular-like pattern, and partly form glands with intracytoplasmic and luminal mucin production. Although well-circumscribed tumor border and the presence of mucin-producing cells are reminiscent of low-grade MEC, epidermoid cells or intermediate cells which are helpful in diagnosis of MEC are not observed. Furthermore, variants of MECs known to date (oncocytic, Warthin-like, ciliated, and sclerosing) [10-13] did not cover the histological characteristics as in our cases.

CCC is a low-grade salivary gland carcinoma, frequently arising in the minor salivary glands, particularly in the base of the tongue. CCCs are composed of malignant cells with solid sheets, nests, cords, and trabecular growth pattern surrounded by hyalinizing stroma. Tumor cells may also show mucinous differentiation, either focally or diffusely [14]. Diffuse and strong p63-reactivity and EWSR1-ATF1 gene fusion is consistently identified in CCC [15]. In our patients, most tumor cells showed a corded and nested configuration with some glandular differentiation, and cytoplastic clearing were partly observed. However, immunohistochemical staining revealed a lack of p63 expression in both cases, which does not match pathological characteristics of CCC.

In our cases, we used break apart probes to identify rearrangement of MAML2 and EWSR1, but unfortunately, we could not reveal the fusion partners. Nevertheless, based on positive FISH results of both genes, we suspect that these tumors possibly possess pathologic and molecular characteristics of both MEC and CCC. Identifying the specific fusion partner of MAML2 and EWSR1 would be helpful in refining this tumor entity. The Ki-67 labeling index has been reported as a prognostic indicator and may be used for the differential diagnosis between malignant and benign tumors of the salivary gland [16,17]. Ki-67 labeling index is usually < 5% in benign tumors and > 10% in malignant tumors. The tumors described here had a low Ki-67 labeling index—both < 5%. Based on the Ki-67 labeling index, this tumor could be defined as a benign neoplasm. However, histologic features, especially lymphovascular or capsular invasion, support that these tumors are malignant. The very low Ki-67 labeling index suggests that these tumors are low-grade malignancy. A larger number of cases with long-term follow-up is needed to determine the exact prognosis.

We identified 19 somatic variants (TOP1, PMS2, NPM1, NF1, MAP2K4, HSP90AA1, and GNAQ) in the samples from patient 1. These variants have not been previously reported for any other types of salivary gland tumors and may be associated with neoplastic transformation or progression. However, allelic frequency of these variants was so low that it is doubtful that these genes have any diagnostic significance.

In conclusion, we have presented two cases of adenocarcinoma of minor salivary glands with concurrent MAML2 and EWSR1 alteration, which displayed unique histological changes that have not been previously described in other types of salivary gland neoplasms. Cords, nests, and trabecular-like arrangements of tumor cells are predominant, with foci of glandular components composed of mucous-cells. Well-circumscribed border, bland tumor nuclei, low Ki-67 labeling index, and non-aggressive clinical behavior suggest that these tumors are low-grade malignancies. Further investigations involving more cases sharing similar histological and molecular features are needed to define this unusual type of salivary gland tumor.
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